# TITLE V BLOCK GRANT APPLICATION FORMS (1-21) STATE: AZ

APPLICATION YEAR: 2006

- FORM 1 SF424
- FORM 2 MCH BUDGET DETAILS
- FORM 3 STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE
- FORM 4 BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED AND SOURCES OF FEDERAL FUNDS
- FORM 5 STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES
- FORM 6 NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF NEWBORN AND OTHERS SCREENED, CASE CONFIRMED, AND TREATED
- FORM 7 NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (UNDUPLICATED) UNDER TITLE V
- FORM 8 DELIVERIES AND INFANTS SERVED BY TITLE V AND ENTITLED TO BENEFITS UNDER TITLE XIX
- FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA
- FORM 10 TITLE V MATERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH SERVICES BLOCK GRANT STATE PROFILE FOR FY 2004
- FORM 11 NATIONAL AND STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURES
- FORM 12 NATIONAL AND STATE OUTCOME MEASURES
- FORM 13 CHARACTERISTICS DOCUMENTING FAMILY PARTICIPATION IN CHILDREN WITH SPECIAL HEALTH CARE NEEDS
- FORM 14 LIST OF MCH PRIORITY NEEDS
- FORM 15 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE (TA) REQUEST AND TRACKING
- FORM 16 STATE PERFORMANCE/OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEETS
- FORM 17 HEALTH SYSTEM CAPACITY INDICATORS (01 THROUGH 04,07,08) MULTI-YEAR DATA
- FORM 18
  - O MEDICAID AND NON-MEDICAID COMPARISON
  - O MEDICAID ELIGIBILITY LEVEL (HSCI 06)
  - O SCHIP ELIGIBILITY LEVEL (HSCI 06)
- FORM 19
  - O GENERAL MCH DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09A)
  - O ADOLESCENT TOBACCO USE DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09B)
  - O OVERWEIGHT AND OBESITY DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09C)
- FORM 20 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS 01-05 MULTI-YEAR DATA
- FORM 21
  - O POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 06)
  - O LIVE BIRTH DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 07)
  - O INFANT AND CHILDREN MORTALITY DATA (HSI 08)
  - O MISCELLANEOUS DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 09)
  - O GEOGRAPHIC LIVING AREA DEMOGRAPHIC DATA (HSI 10)
  - O POVERTY LEVEL DEMOGRAPHIC DATA (HSI 11)
  - O POVERTY LEVEL FOR CHILDREN DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 12)
- New State Performance and Outcome Measures for New Needs Assessment Period

APPLICATION FOR FED	ERAL AS		2. DATE SUBMITTED 7/15/2005		APPLICANT IDENTIFIER		
1. TYPE OF SUBMISSION:			3. DATE RECEIVED BY STATE		STATE	APPLICATION IDENTIFIER	
Application Construction Non-Construction	Pre-application Const	ll'	4. DATE RECEIVED BY FEDER	- 11	FEDER <b>804745</b>	AL IDENTIFIER 420	
5. APPLICANT INFORMATION	I Non C	Jonisti dellon					
Legal Name:			Organizational Unit:				
Arizona Department of Health		.\	Community and Family Hea				
Address (give city, county, state 150 N. 18th Ave., Suite 320  Phoenix, AZ 85007  County: Maricopa	and zip code	<del>)</del>	Name and telephone number of the person to be contacted on matters involving this application (give area code)  Name: Jeanette Shea-Ramirez, MSW, ACSW, Office Chief Tel Number: 602-364-1419				
6. EMPLOYER IDENTIFICATION  8 6 - 6 0	N NUMBER	(EIN): 7 9		ndent School Distric introlled Institution of University Tribe al Organization	t		
8. TYPE OF APPLICATION:			9 NAME OF FEDERAL AGEN	ICY:	Mata	mal and Child Health Bureau	
New Continuation	Revision		Health Resources and Servi	ces Administration	n, Mater	rnal and Child Health Bureau	
If Revision, enter appropriate lett A. Increase Award B. Decrease Award C Decrease Duration Other (specify):							
10. CATALOG OF FEDERAL DO NUMBER:	OMESTIC AS	SSISTANCE	11. DESCRIPTIVE TITLE OF State Title V Block Grant FY		DJECT:		
9 3 9 4  TITLE: Maternal and Child Hea	likh Samilaaa	Block Cront					
12. AREAS AFFECTED BY PROstates, etc.): Arizona							
13. PROPOSED PROJECT:			14. CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS OF:				
	nding Date:			b. Project 01,02,03,04,05,06,	07,08		
15. ESTIMATED FUNDING:		16. IS APPLICAT	TION SUBJECT TO REVIEW BY	STATE EXECUTIV	VE ORD	ER 12372 PROCESS?	
<u> </u>	769,858.00		REAPPLICATION/APPLICATION 22 PROCESS FOR REVIEW ON		ILABLE	TO THE STATE EXECUTIVE	
b. Applicant \$2,	848,328.00	h NO =	AODAMIO NOT OOVEDED DV	- 0 40070			
c. State \$13,	262,434.00		GRAM IS NOT COVERED BY E PROGRAM HAS NOT BEEN SE		OD 1	DEVIEW.	
d. Local \$	0.00	III OR F	PROGRAM HAS NOT BEEN SE	LECTED BY STATE	EFUR	KEVIEW	
e. Other \$\$	187,058.00						
f. Program Income	0.00						
g. TOTAL \$	067,678.00		ICANT DELINQUENT ON ANY, attach an explanation Mo	FEDERAL DEBT			
18. TO THE BEST OF MY KNOW DOCUMENT HAS BEEN DULY ATTACHED ASSURANCES IF T	<b>AUTHORIZE</b>	D BY THE GOVE	ERNING BODY OF THE APPLIC				
a. Typed Name of Authorized Re Craig R. Dunlap	epresentative		b. Title Assistant Director, Business a	and Financial		c. Telephone Number 602-542-1034	
d. Signature of Authorized Repre	esentative					e. Date Signed	

Previous Editions Not Usable

Standard Form 424 (REV. 4-88) Prescribed by OMB A-102

#### FORM 2 MCH BUDGET DETAILS FOR FY 2006 [Secs. 504 (d) and 505(a)(3)(4)] STATE: AZ 1. FEDERAL ALLOCATION 7,769,858 (Item 15a of the Application Face Sheet [SF 424]) Of the Federal Allocation (1 above), the amount earmarked for: A.Preventive and primary care for children: 2,512,683 ( 32.34%) B.Children with special health care needs: 2,330,957 ( 30%) (If either A or B is less than 30%, a waiver request must accompany the application)[Sec. 505(a)(3)] C.Title V admininstrative costs: 776,985 (\_ 10%) (The above figure cannot be more than 10%)[Sec. 504(d)] 2,848,328 2. UNOBLIGATED BALANCE (Item 15b of SF 424) 13,262,434 3. STATE MCH FUNDS (Item 15c of the SF 424) 4. LOCAL MCH FUNDS (Item 15d of SF 424) 20,187,058 5. OTHER FUNDS (Item 15e of SF 424) 0 6. PROGRAM INCOME (Item 15f of SF 424) 7. TOTAL STATE MATCH (Lines 3 through 6) 33,449,492 (Below is your State's FY 1989 Maintainence of Effort Amount) 12,056,360 8. FEDERAL-STATE TITLE V BLOCK GRANT PARTNERSHIP (SUBTOTAL) \$ 44,067,678 (Total lines 1 through 6. Same as line 15g of SF 424) 9. OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (Funds under the control of the person responsible for the administration of the Title V program) a. SPRANS: b. SSDI: 100,000 100,000 c. CISS: d. Abstinence Education: 1,034,776 e. Healthy Start: 0 100,000 f. EMSC: 76,938,417 g. WIC: 0 h. AIDS: 0 i. CDC: 0 i. Education: k Other: AZ EARLY INTERVENTION 500,000 **CHILD FATALITY** 148,000 CRS 57,926,638 **FAMILY VIOLENCE** 1,685,611 KIDS CARE 3,319,509 PHBG 1,619,046 RAPE PREV ED 753,331 UNIVERSAL NB HEARIN 149,970 144,375,298 10. OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (SUBTOTAL of all Funds under item 9) 11. STATE MCH BUDGET TOTAL 188,442,976 (Partnership subtotal + Other Federal MCH Funds subtotal)

None

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Main Field Name: OtherFunds Row Name: Other Funds

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Field Note:

We will receive additional state and local funding as a result of our collaboration with other state government agencies and charitable foundations. These funds are used as a match for other federal funds.

#### STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE

[Secs. 505(a) and 506((a)(I-3)]

STATE: AZ

	FY :	2004	FY:	2005	FY 2006		
	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
1. Federal Allocation (Line1, Form 2)	\$8,428,977	\$4,801,718	\$	\$0	\$	\$0	
2. Unobligated Balance (Line2, Form 2)	\$	\$ 4,484,863	\$ 4,279,039	\$0	\$ 2,848,328	\$0	
3. State Funds (Line3, Form 2)	\$ 14,208,091	\$ 12,377,326	\$13,684,737	\$0	\$ 13,262,434	\$0	
4. Local MCH Funds (Line4, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
5. Other Funds (Line5, Form 2)	\$ 12,973,984	\$ 17,728,718	\$14,375,700	\$0	\$ 20,187,058	\$0	
6. Program Income (Line6, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
7. Subtotal (Line8, Form 2)	\$ 39,537,047	\$ 39,392,625	\$40,181,833	\$0	\$ 44,067,678	\$0	
		(THE FEI	DERAL-STATE TITLE I	BLOCK GRANT PARTI	IERSHIP)		
8. Other Federal Funds (Line10, Form 2)	\$101,648,661	\$ <u>114,036,208</u>	\$ <u>113,903,605</u>	\$0	\$ <u>144,375,298</u>	\$0	
9. Total (Line11, Form 2)	\$141,185,708	\$ 153,428,833	\$ 154,085,438	\$0	\$ 188,442,976	\$0	
			(STATE MCH B	UDGET TOTAL)			

#### STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE

[Secs. 505(a) and 506((a)(I-3)]

STATE: AZ

	FY 2	2001	FY:	2002	FY 2003		
	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
1. Federal Allocation (Line1, Form 2)	\$	\$ 2,902,838	\$	\$2,511,984	\$	\$ 2,921,230	
2. Unobligated Balance (Line2, Form 2)	\$ 925,928	\$	\$ 954,942	\$ 4,297,523	\$ 980,809	\$ 4,924,315	
3. State Funds (Line3, Form 2)	\$ 13,619,090	\$ 14,210,898	\$ 14,388,531	\$ 12,894,930	\$16,121,481	\$ 13,669,438	
4. Local MCH Funds (Line4, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
5. Other Funds (Line5, Form 2)	\$ 10,428,583	\$ 10,404,305	\$11,606,041	\$15,818,070	\$12,020,470	\$ 13,187,488	
6. Program Income (Line6, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
7. Subtotal (Line8, Form 2)	\$ 32,173,963	\$ 32,233,101	\$34,149,876	\$ 35,522,507	\$ 36,561,103	\$ 34,702,471	
		(THE FEI	DERAL-STATE TITLE I	BLOCK GRANT PARTN	IERSHIP)		
8. Other Federal Funds (Line10, Form 2)	\$92,285,135	\$98,408,176	\$94,361,973	\$90,656,791	\$108,040,282	\$94,146,853	
9. Total (Line11, Form 2)	\$ 124,459,098	\$130,641,277	\$128,511,849	\$ 126,179,298	\$144,601,385	\$ 128,849,324	
			(STATE MCH B	UDGET TOTAL)			

None

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Main

Field Name: FedAllocExpended Row Name: Federal Allocation Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

As reported previously, the budget period has been transitioned from a federal fiscal year to a calendar fiscal year. Consequently, the annual reporting of budgeted, encumbered and expended monies through September 30th is misleading in that we actually have another three months remaining in our calendar year budget cycle so expenditures will appear less than they should while remaining money will appear greater.

Section Number: Main Field Name: FedAllocExpended Row Name: Federal Allocation Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

As reported previously, the budget period has been transitioned from a federal fiscal year to a calendar fiscal year. Consequently, the annual reporting of budgeted, encumbered an expended monies through September 30th is misleading in that we actually have another three months remaining in our calendar year budget cycle so expenditures will appear less than they should while remaining money will appear greater.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: UnobligatedBalanceExpended

Row Name: Unobligated Balance

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

As reported previously, the budget period has been transitioned from a federal fiscal year to a calendar fiscal year. Consequently, the annual reporting of budgeted, encumbered and expended monies through September 30th is misleading in that we actually have another three months remaining in our calendar year budget cycle so expenditures will appear less than they should while remaining money will appear greater.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: UnobligatedBalanceExpended

Row Name: Unobligated Balance Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004

Field Note:

As reported previously, the budget period has been transitioned from a federal fiscal year to a calendar fiscal year. Consequently, the annual reporting of budgeted, encumbered an expended monies through September 30th is misleading in that we actually have another three months remaining in our calendar year budget cycle so expenditures will appear less than they should while remaining money will appear greater.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: StateMCHFundsExpended

Row Name: State Funds Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Arizona state funds (match and overmatch) is \$13,669,438, surpassing our state's maintenance of effort level in FY1989 \$12,056,360. However it is less than budgeted because of state funding cuts due to a slumping state economy.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: StateMCHFundsExpended

Row Name: State Funds Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Arizona state funds (match and overmatch) are \$12,377,326, surpassing our state's maintenance of effort level in FY1989, \$12,056,360. However, it is less than budgeted because of state funding cuts due to a slumping state economy.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: OtherFundsExpended Row Name: Other Funds Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

The other funding support for year 2002, in the amount of \$13,187,488, came from our collaboration with the state government agencies that supplemented CRS and Teen Pregnancy Prevention programs. The increase in FY2003 is the result of time limited tobacco settlement funds.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: OtherFundsExpended Row Name: Other Funds Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

The other funding support for 2004, in the amount of \$17,728,718, came from our collaboration with other state government agencies that supplemented CRS, Health State and Teen Pregnancy Prevention programs. The increase in FY2004 is the result of time limited tobacco settlement funds.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: OtherFedFundsExpended Row Name: Other Federal Funds Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Budgeted amounts are based on previous year's projections and do not correlate well with the actual budgeted amount because of the unpredictability of the actual award amount and program changes that occur.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: OtherFedFundsExpended Row Name: Other Federal Funds Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004

Field Note:

Budgeted amounts are based on previous year's projections and do not correlate well with the actual budgeted amount because of the unpredictability of the actual aware amount and program changes that occur.

#### BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (I) AND SOURCES OF OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (II)

[Secs 506(2)(2)(iv)]

STATE: AZ

	FY	2004	FY:	2005	FY 2006		
I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
a. Pregnant Women	\$3,693,481	\$3,011,422	\$3,472,063	\$0	\$3,547,481	\$0	
b. Infants < 1 year old	\$	\$6,137,089	\$	\$0	\$6,922,688	\$0	
c. Children 1 to 22 years old	\$	\$ 6,703,253	\$ 6,855,358	\$0	\$	\$0	
d. Children with Special Healthcare Needs	\$ 19,531,663	\$ 23,112,996	\$ 21,949,423	\$0	\$ 25,509,512	\$0	
e. Others	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
f. Administration	\$842,897	\$ 427,865	\$ 784,235	\$0	\$	\$0	
g. SUBTOTAL	\$ 39,537,047	\$ 39,392,625	\$ 40,181,833	\$0	\$44,067,678	\$ <u> </u>	
II. Other Federal Funds (under the	ontrol of the nerson r	acnoncible for admini	stration of the Title V	nrogram)			
a. SPRANS	\$ 0	esponsible for admini	stration of the Title v	program).	\$ 0		
b. SSDI	\$ 100,000	] ]	\$ 100,000		\$ 100,000		
c. CISS	\$ 100,000	] ]	\$ 100,000		\$ 100,000		
d. Abstinence Education	\$ 1,056,905	] ]	\$ 1,056,905		\$ 1,034,776		
e. Healthy Start	\$ 0	] ]	\$ 0		\$ 0		
f. EMSC	s 0	] ]	s 0	]	\$ 100,000		
g. WIC	\$ 62,408,358	] ]	\$ 72,208,184		\$ 76,938,417		
h. AIDS	\$ 02,400,330	] ]	\$ 0		\$ 0		
	1	] ]	\$ 0		\$ \$ 0		
i. CDC	<del></del>	]					
j. Education k.Other	\$0	J	\$0		\$0		
AZ EARLY INTERVENTIO	\$ 752,901	]	\$ 527,494	]	\$ 500,000		
	<u>                                     </u>	] ]	\$ 148,000		\$ 148,000		
CHILD FATALITY		]			<u> </u>		
CRS	\$ 30,475,376	]	\$ 32,668,102		\$ 57,926,638		
FAMILY VIOLENCE	\$ 1,644,095	]	\$ 1,665,286	]	\$ 1,685,611		
KIDS CARE	\$ 2,453,774	]	\$ 2,668,686		\$ 3,319,509		
PHBG	\$ 1,623,978		\$ 1,623,978		\$1,619,046		
RAPE PREV ED	\$ 745,241		\$ 753,834		\$ 753,331		
UNIVERSAL NB HEARING	\$ 241,476		\$ 236,587		\$ 149,970		
EARLY HEARING DETECT	\$ 146,557		\$ 146,549		\$0		
III. SUBTOTAL	\$ 101,648,661	]	\$ 113,903,605		\$ 144,375,298		

#### BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (I) AND SOURCES OF OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (II)

[Secs 506(2)(2)(iv)]

STATE: AZ

	FY	2001	FY:	2002	FY 2003		
I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
a. Pregnant Women	\$4,319,105	3,421,304	\$4,812,767	\$2,898,708	\$3,037,661	\$3,133,217	
b. Infants < 1 year old	\$ 6,892,452	\$ 5,627,525	\$6,879,215	\$5,772,079	\$6,287,030	\$ 6,665,684	
c. Children 1 to 22 years old	\$ 3,670,254	\$ 4,539,057	\$3,088,089	\$8,901,242	\$ 8,633,353	\$ 7,798,862	
d. Children with Special Healthcare Needs	\$ 16,433,441	\$ 17,925,179	\$ 18,318,810	\$ 17,402,415	\$ 17,859,225	\$ 16,523,903	
e. Others	\$ 138,675	5 \$ 0	\$ 330,959	\$0	\$0	\$0	
f. Administration	\$ 720,036	720,036	\$ 720,036	\$ 548,063	\$ 743,834	\$ 580,805	
g. SUBTOTAL	\$32,173,963	\$32,233,101_	\$34,149,876	\$35,522,507	\$36,561,103	\$34,702,471	
II. Other Federal Funds (under the	control of the person	responsible for admini	stration of the Title V	program).			
a. SPRANS	\$0		\$0		\$0		
b. SSDI	\$ 100,000		\$100,000		\$ 100,000		
c. CISS	\$0		\$0		\$0		
d. Abstinence Education	\$ 3,395,333		\$4,070,333		\$ 670,000		
e. Healthy Start	\$0		\$0		\$0		
f. EMSC	\$ 100,000		\$0		\$0		
g. WIC	\$ 65,340,574		\$67,023,902		\$ 73,407,219		
h. AIDS	\$0		\$0		\$0		
i. CDC	\$0		\$0		\$151,973		
j. Education	\$0		\$0		\$0		
k.Other							
CRS	\$20,697,771		\$ 21,369,800		\$ 31,889,048		
PHBG	\$0	]	\$1,604,181		\$1,604,181		
UNIVERSAL NB HEARING	\$0		\$0		\$\$17,861		
UNIVERSAL NB HEAR	\$0		\$193,757		\$0		
Early Childhood \$278,310	\$2,651,457		\$0		\$0		
Genetics \$73,449	\$0	]	\$0		\$0		
PHBG \$2,299,698	\$0		\$0		\$0		
III. SUBTOTAL	\$ 92,285,135	Ī	\$ 94,361,973		\$108,040,282		

None

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: PregWomenExpended Row Name: Pregnant Women Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Budgeted amounts are based on previous year's projections and do not correlate well with the actual budgeted amount because of the unpredictability of the actual aware amount and program changes that occur.

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children\_0\_1Expended Row Name: Infants <1 year old Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Budgeted amounts are based on previous year's projections and do not correlate well with the actual budgeted amount because of the unpredictability of the actual aware amount and program changes that occur.

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children\_1\_22Expended Row Name: Children 1 to 22 years old

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Budgeted amounts are based on previous year's projections and do not correlate well with the actual budgeted amount because of the unpredictability of the actual aware amount and program changes that occur.

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: CSHCNExpended Row Name: CSHCN Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Budgeted amounts are based on previous year's projections and do not correlate well with the actual budgeted amount because of the unpredictability of the actual aware amount and program changes that occur.

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AdminExpended Row Name: Administration Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Budgeted amounts are based on previous year's projections and do not correlate well with the actual budgeted amount because of the unpredictability of the actual award amount and program changes that occur.

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AdminExpended Row Name: Administration Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004

Field Note:

Budgeted amounts are based on previous year's projections and do not correlate well with the actual budgeted amount because of the unpredictability of the actual aware amount and program changes that occur.

#### STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES

[Secs. 505(a)(2)(A-B) and 506(a)(1)(A-D)]

STATE: AZ

TYPE OF SERVICE	FY 2	2004	FY:	2005	FY 2006		
TIPE OF SERVICE	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
I. Direct Health Care Services (Basic Health Services and Health Services for CSHCN.)	\$ 19,626,009	\$\$	\$ 21,873,255	\$0	\$ 26,133,840	\$0	
II. Enabling Services (Transportation, Translation, Outreach, Respite Care, Health Education, Family Support Services, Purchase of Health Insurance, Case Management, and Coordination with Medicaid, WIC, and Education.)	\$1,948,758	\$1,505,432	\$1,398,903	\$0	\$1,334,768	\$0	
III. Population-Based Services (Newborn Screening, Lead Screening, Immunization, Sudden Infant Death Syndrome Counseling, Oral Health, Injury Prevention, Nutrition, and Outreach/Public Education.)	\$ 7,876,836	\$6,227,272	\$6,377,326	\$0	\$ 7,615,439	\$0	
IV. Infrastructure Building Services (Needs Assessment, Evaluation, Planning, Policy Development, Coordination, Quality Assurance, Standards Development, Monitoring, Training, Applied Research, Systems of Care, and Information Systems.)	\$10,085,444	\$	\$10,532,349	\$0	\$8,983,631	\$0	
V. Federal-State Title V Block Grant Partnership Total (Federal-State Partnership only. Item 15g of SF 42r. For the "Budget" columns this is the same figure that appears in Line 8, Form 2, and in the "Budgeted" columns of Line 7 Form 3. For the "Expended" columns this is the same figure that appears in the "Expended" columns of Line 7, Form 3.)	\$39,537,047	\$39,392,625	\$40,181,833	\$0	\$44,067,678	\$0	

#### STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES

[Secs. 505(a)(2)(A-B) and 506(a)(1)(A-D)]

STATE: AZ

TYPE OF SERVICE	FY 2	2001	FY:	2002	FY 2003		
TIPE OF SERVICE	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
I. Direct Health Care Services (Basic Health Services and Health Services for CSHCN.)	\$ 16,129,508	\$ 17,079,408	\$17,735,619	\$ 18,116,358	\$ 17,858,358	\$17,517,082	
II. Enabling Services (Transportation, Translation, Outreach, Respite Care, Health Education, Family Support Services, Purchase of Health Insurance, Case Management, and Coordination with Medicaid, WIC, and Education.)	\$1,408,441	\$1,522,961	\$1,390,438	\$1,505,443	\$1,775,325	\$1,545,239	
III. Population-Based Services (Newborn Screening, Lead Screening, Immunization, Sudden Infant Death Syndrome Counseling, Oral Health, Injury Prevention, Nutrition, and Outreach/Public Education.)	\$	\$\$	\$	\$ 9,366,017	\$ 9,427,005	\$8,482,268	
IV. Infrastructure Building Services (Needs Assessment, Evaluation, Planning, Policy Development, Coordination, Quality Assurance, Standards Development, Monitoring, Training, Applied Research, Systems of Care, and Information Systems.)	\$	\$	\$	\$ 6,534,689	\$7,500,415	\$	
V. Federal-State Title V Block Grant Partnership Total (Federal-State Partnership only. Item 15g of SF 42r. For the "Budget" columns this is the same figure that appears in Line 8, Form 2, and in the "Budgeted" columns of Line 7 Form 3. For the "Expended" columns this is the same figure that appears in the "Expended" columns of Line 7, Form 3.)	\$32,173,963	\$32,233,101	\$34,149,876	\$35,522,507	\$36,561,103	\$34,702,471	

None

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Main

Field Name: DirectHCExpended Row Name: Direct Health Care Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Budgeted amounts are based on previous year's projections and do not correlate well with the actual budgeted amount because of the unpredictability of the actual aware amount and program changes that occur.

2. Section Number: Main

Field Name: EnablingExpended Row Name: Enabling Services Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Budgeted amounts are based on previous year's projections and do not correlate well with the actual budgeted amount because of the unpredictability of the actual award amount and program changes that occur.

3. Section Number: Main

Field Name: EnablingExpended Row Name: Enabling Services Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Budgeted amounts are based on previous year's projections and do not correlate well with the actual budgeted amount because of the unpredictability of the actual aware amount and program changes that occur.

4. Section Number: Main

Field Name: PopBasedExpended
Row Name: Population-Based Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Budgeted amounts are based on previous year's projections and do not correlate well with the actual budgeted amount because of the unpredictability of the actual award amount and program changes that occur.

5. Section Number: Main

Field Name: PopBasedExpended Row Name: Population-Based Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Budgeted amounts are based on previous year's projections and do not correlate well with the actual budgeted amount because of the unpredictability of the actual aware amount and program changes that occur.

6. Section Number: Main

Field Name: InfrastrBuildExpended Row Name: Infrastructure Building Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Budgeted amounts are based on previous year's projections and do not correlate well with the actual budgeted amount because of the unpredictability of the actual aware

amount and program changes that occur.

FORM 6											
NUMBER AND PE	RCENTAGE OF N	IEWBORNS AN	ND OTHERS SC	REENED, CAS	SES CONFIRMED,	AND TREATED					
Sect. 506(a)(2)(B)(iii)											
			STATE: AZ								
Fotal Births by Occurrence: 98,551 Reporting Year: 2004											
Type of Screening Tests	(A) Receiving at leas (1)		(B) No. of Presumptive Positive	(C) No. Confirmed		D) eatment that reatment(3)					
	No.	%	Screens	Cases (2)	No.	%					
Phenylketonuria	93,229	94.6	77		4	100					
Congenital Hypothyroidism	93,229	94.6	1,156	5	3 53	100					
Galactosemia	93,229	94.6	33		0 0						
Sickle Cell Disease	93,229	94.6	5		5 5	100					
Other Screening (Specify)											
Biotinidase Deficiency	90,304	91.6	5		1 1	100					
Homocystinuria	90,304	91.6	62		0 0						
Congenital Adrenal Hyperplasia (CAH)	90,304	91.6	301	1	2 12	100					
Maple Syrup Urine Disease (MSUD)	90,304	91.6	12		0 0						
Newborn Hearing Screening	96,876	98.3	3,314		0 0						
Screening Progra	ms for Older Chil	dren & Wome	n (Specify Tests	by name)							
Pap Smears	23,051		0		0 0						
Pregnancy Tests	35,704		0		0 0						
Nursing Screens	2,907		0		0 0						
School Hearing Screening	527,146		0		0 0						
(1) Use occurrent (2) Report only the	births as denomina ose from resident b confirmed cases a	irths.									

None

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Main

Field Name: SickleCellDisease\_Confirmed

Row Name: SickleCellDisease Column Name: Confirmed Cases

Field Note:

The test for Sicklle Cell Disease is a very good test and there are very few, if any, false positives.

Section Number: Other Screening Types

Field Name: Other Row Name: All Rows Column Name: All Columns

Year: 2006 Field Note:

For newborn hearing screening, data reported are estimated based on 41 out of 45 birthing hospitals. While all 45 birthing hospitals have universal screening programs, reporting to the ADHS is voluntary, and not all report. Among the 78,139 births at these 41 hospitals, 76,774, or 98.3% were screened. The best estimate of the numerator for this measure on a statewide basis is 98% of all births: 98,551 x. 983 = 96,876.

Section Number: Screening Programs for Older Children and Women

Field Name: OtherWomen Row Name: All Rows Column Name: All Columns

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Presumptive positives are not available for pregnancy tests or pap smears. The numbers of these tests are from the Title V and Title X providers. Nursing screens include Ages & Stages, Denver II, and HOME screens, and results are not available for them.

## Number of Individuals Served (Unduplicated) under Title V (BY Class of Individuals and Percent of Health Coverage)

[Sec. 506(a)(2)(A)(i-ii)]

STATE: AZ

Reporting Year: 2004

	TITLE V		PRIMAR	Y SOURCES OF COV	'ERAGE	
Types of Individuals Served	(A) Total Served	(B) Title XIX %	(C) Title XXI %	(D) Private/Other %	(E) None %	(F) Unknown %
Pregnant Women	9,935	23.5	0.0	4.4	6.5	65.6
Infants < 1 year old	98,605	3.5	0.0	1.6	0.0	94.8
Children 1 to 22 years old	580,344	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	99.8
Children with Special Healthcare Needs	22,144	88.0	4.5	7.0	0.0	0.5
Others	7,527	2.7	0.2	1.3	0.8	95.0
TOTAL	718,555					

None

#### **FIELD LEVEL NOTES**

Section Number: Main Field Name: PregWomen\_TS Row Name: Pregnant Women Column Name: Title V Total Served

Insurance status is known primarily for Health Start and maternal transport services.

Section Number: Main Field Name: Children\_0\_1\_TS Row Name: Infants <1 year of age Column Name: Title V Total Served

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Insurance status is known primarily for the Neonatal Intensive Care Program.

Section Number: Main Field Name: CSHCN\_TS Row Name: Children with Special Health Care Needs

Column Name: Title V Total Served Year: 2006

Field Note:

For children 1-22 years of age, the count of service recipients may be inflated due to an inability to unduplicate children receiving hearing and vision screenings from oral

health service recipients.

### FORM 8 DELIVERIES AND INFANTS SERVED BY TITLE V AND ENTITLED TO BENEFITS UNDER TITLE XIX (BY RACE AND ETHNICITY) [SEC. 506(A)(2)(C-D)] STATE: AZ

Reporting Year: 2004

#### I. UNDUPLICATED COUNT BY RACE

	(A) Total All Races	(B) White	(C) Black or African American	(D) American Indian or Native Alaskan	(E) Asian	(F) Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	(G) More than one race reported	(H) Other and Unknown
DELIVERIES								
Total Deliveries in State	92,039	76,701	3,161	6,068	2,523	60	0	3,526
Title V Served	90,605	75,506	3,112	5,973	2,484	59	0	3,471
Eligible for Title XIX	47,295	39,239	1,895	3,210	525	27	0	2,399
INFANTS								
Total Infants in State	93,396	77,883	3,216	6,120	2,553	61	0	3,563
Title V Served	92,114	76,843	3,171	5,968	2,508	61	0	3,563
Eligible for Title XIX	47,823	39,685	1,925	3,236	527	27	0	2,423

#### II. UNDUPLICATED COUNT BY ETHNICITY

				HISPANIC OR LATINO (Sub-categories by country or area of origin)						
	( A ) Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	(B) Total Hispanic or Latino	(C) Ethnicity Not Reported	(B.1) Mexican	( B.2 ) Cuban	( B.3 ) Puerto Rican	( B.4 ) Central and South American	( B.5 ) Other and Unknown		
DELIVERIES										
Total Deliveries in State	49,158	40,866	2,015	38,401	53	291	866	1,255		
Title V Served	48,392	40,229	1,984	37,803	52	286	853	1,235		
Eligible for Title XIX	16,377	29,796	122	28,345	25	144	532	750		
INFANTS										
Total Infants in State	5,078	41,316	2,032	38,823	53	297	875	1,268		
Title V Served	49,364	40,749	2,004	38,290	52	293	863	1,251		
Eligible for Title XIX	16,593	30,097	133	28,641	25	144	534	753		

None

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: I. Unduplicated Count By Race

Field Name: DeliveriesTotal\_Asian Row Name: Total Deliveries in State

Column Name: Asian

Field Note:

Wital Records includes "Other Asian and Pacific Islander", which are added to Asian category.

Section Number: I. Unduplicated Count By Race

Field Name: InfantsTotal\_All Row Name: Total Infants in State Column Name: Total All Races

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Total all races is the number or babies receiving newborn screening. Race and ethnicity are not captured by the Newborn Screening Program; however, a very high percentage of all births receives newborn screening. Therefore, the number of deliveries receiving Title V services within each racial and ethnic category is estimated to be the total number of Title V served times the percent of all deliveries in the state represented by that racial group.

Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: InfantsTotal\_TotalNotHispanic Row Name: Total Infants in State

Column Name: Total Not Hispanic or Latino

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Title V served for all races is the total number of deliveries in the state plus infants under age one served by clinic or nursing through the High Risk Perinatal Program that were not enrolled in the hospital. This number is higher than the total number of infants estimated to be in the state, which the TVIS program will not allow to be entered because it considers it to be erroneous. Therefore, the number of Title V served is estimated to be the same as the number of infants in the state. However, it is quite possible that there were more deliveries in the state throughout the year than there are infants living in the state at any point in time.

## FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA FORM [Secs. 505(A)(E) AND 509(A)(B)] STATE: AZ

	FY 2006	FY 2005	FY 2004	FY 2003	FY 2002
1. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Telephone Number	(800) 232-1676	(800) 232-1676	(800) 232-1676	(800) 232-1676	(800) 232-1676
2. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Name	Children's Information Center				
3. Name of Contact Person for State MCH "Hotline"	Dana Goodloe	Dana Goodloe	Dana Goodloe	Dana Goodloe	Kathryn Butler
4. Contact Person's Telephone Number	(602) 364-1462	(602) 364-1462	(602) 364-1462	(602) 364-1462	(602) 220-6550
5. Number of calls received on the State MCH "Hotline" this reporting period	0		4,775	2,159	988

## FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA FORM (OPTIONAL) [Secs. 505(A)(E) AND 509(A)(8)] STATE: AZ

	FY 2006	FY 2005	FY 2004	FY 2003	FY 2002
1. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Telephone Number	(800) 833-4642	(800) 833-4642	(800) 833-4642	(800) 833-4642	(800) 833-4642
2. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Name	Pregnancy and Breastfeeding Hotline				
3. Name of Contact Person for State MCH "Hotline"	Dana Goodloe	Dana Goodloe	Dana Goodloe	Dana Goodloe	Kathryn Butler
Contact Person's     Telephone Number	(602) 364-1462	(602) 364-1462	(602) 364-1462	(602) 364-1462	(602) 220-6550
5. Number of calls received on the State MCH "Hotline" this reporting period	0	0	5,834	6,442	7,992

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 9
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

#### **FORM 10** TITLE V MATERNAL & CHILD HEALTH SERVICES BLOCK GRANT STATE PROFILE FOR FY 2006 [SEC. 506(A)(1)]

STATE: AZ

#### 1. State MCH Administration:

The Arizona Department of Health Services was established as the State Public Health Agency in 1973 under A.R.S. Title 36 and is designated as Arizona's Title V Agency. The Bureau of Community and Family Health Services, in which the Office of Women's and Children's Health and the Office for Children with Special Health Care Needs are located, provides policies and standards development, comprehensive planning, community organization and development, training and technical assistance, and direct provision of screening, preventive and curative services. Services provided through the Title V program include, but are not limited to, the statewide Newborn Screening, Universal Newborn Hearing Screening, High Risk Perinatal Services, Children's Rehabilitative Services, community development, Community Grants Initiative, Family Planning, Hotline, Health Start, Medical Home Project, and Traumatic Brain Injury Project.

Block	Grant	<b>Funds</b>
-------	-------	--------------

2. Federal Allocation (Line 1, Form 2)	\$ 7,769,858
3. Unobligated balance (Line 2, Form 2)	\$ 2,848,328
4. State Funds (Line 3, Form 2)	\$ 13,262,434
5. Local MCH Funds (Line 4, Form 2)	\$ 0
6. Other Funds (Line 5, Form 2)	\$ 20,187,058
7. Program Income (Line 6, Form 2)	\$ 0
8. Total Federal-State Partnership (Line 8, Form 2)	\$ 44,067,678

9. Most significant providers receiving MCH funds:

10. Individuals served by the Title V Program (Col. A, Form 7)

hospitals
community health centers
Consultant for community development, ACCCI
county health departments
9,935
98,605
580,344
22,144

11. Statewide Initiatives and Partnerships:

#### a. Direct Medical Care and Enabling Services:

(max 2500 characters)

d. CSHCN

e. Others

a. Pregnant Women b. Infants < 1 year old c. Children 1 to 22 years old

OCSHCN provides MCH block grant dollars to fund service coordination for children who are at risk of developmental delays but do not meet the medical or financial eligibility requirements of the AzEIP. Additional block grant dollars, in conjunction with funding from the Governor's Council on Head and Spinal Injuries, and a grant from BHHS Legacy Foundation provide service coordination to children and youth with traumatic brain and spinal cord injuries up to the age of 21. The Community Development Initiative, lead by OCSHCN, builds on 10 years of work with parent leaders and community development at the local level. Through endorsement by the Governor's Office, several state agencies will be incorporating youth and families into their decision-making processes. OCSHCN parent leaders will provide leadership in: training parents, serving as mentors to new agencies and families as they implement a process to identify parents, involving families in the development of policies and procedures, and evaluate the effectiveness of this integration. OCSHCN will be working closely with the community development consultant as all of these activities are implemented. Most of the parent leaders and the organizational support contracts for the community teams are funded by Title V dollars.

7,527

#### b. Population-Based Services:

(max 2500 characters)

Teen Pregnancy Prevention Health Marketing Campaign: State funds became available in 2004 to address prevention of teen pregnancies in Arizona. Key stakeholders were brought together to help determine the best use for the funds, and a major outcome was a statewide teen pregnancy prevention marketing campaign. The campaign used a poetry jam format in television ads to promote a message of "Respect yourself: abstain or use a condom." The campaign is complemented by the funding of two communitybased projects in locations with the highest teen pregnancy rates. The community-based projects will create a local plan to address teen pregnancy, and then begin implementation of the plan. Newborn Screening: In 2005, the Arizona Legislature enacted legislation requiring the ADHS to specify in rule the disorders to be screened and added the follow up of newborn hearing disorders. This legislature allows for the expansion of the number and type of disorders screened in newborns. Tandem Mass Spectrometry has been added to the methodologies used for newborn screening in Arizona, which vastly increases the number of possible disorders that can be screened. ADHS identifies over a hundred newborns annually through screening, who are ultimately diagnosed with clinically significant disorders. In 2004, the Newborn Screening Program located all of the affected infants and facilitated access to care until assured that the newborns received appropriate needed services. Children with Special Health Care Needs: OCSHCN provides Title V financial support to the Asthma Coalition by funding the executive director position and the development of an annual report with recommendations to the community on how to prevent and treat asthma. The purpose of the Asthma Coalition is to promote asthma education and awareness and promote a community systems approach in assessing and treating asthma. OCSHCN funds the Pinal County Division of Public Health to work with school nurses to implement asthma action plans. Phoenix Children's Hospital receives funding from OCSHCN to developing a model community asthma action plan for some Head Start Programs in Maricopa County

c. Infrastructure Building Services:

Women's Health: OWCH used Title V funds to support the women's health policy advisor to the Governor. The policy advisor, located in the Governor's Office for Children, Youth, and Families, works closely with OWCH on issues such as family planning, teen pregnancy prevention, and preventive health care for women. Major initiatives include development and implementation of activities for Women's Health Week and staffing the Governor's Commission on the Health Status of Women. State Early Childhood Comprehensive Systems Grant: OWCH has collaborated with the Governor's School Readiness Board to apply for and carry out the activities of the State Early Childhood

Comprehensive Systems Grant. The statewide early childhood systems planning initiative is coordinated by the Governor's Office for Children, Youth, and Families' School Readiness Board staff. The School Readiness Board staff. The School Readiness Board staff are working together to implement the health-related recommendations from the Governor's Action Plan. Initiatives include expansion of health screenings for young children and the development of a statewide child care health consultation system. Injury Prevention: OWCH leads the Department's injury prevention efforts through management of the ADHS Injury Prevention Advisory Council and the ADHS Injury Prevention Internal Workgroup. Infrastructure-building activities include leading the update to the statewide injury surveillance and prevention plan, and outreach to new and existing partners throughout the state. In addition, significant Title V resources are dedicated to child car seat safety. Community-based projects funded by Title V typically include training additional people to become certified by the National Highway Safety Transportation Administration for teaching parents/caregivers how to properly install car seats. The OWCH also houses the Arizona Safe Kids Coalition, which is targeting the expansion of new local coalitions and development of existing coalitions. In May 2005, OCSHCN was awarded the MCH Integrated Services Grant and will use Title V dollars to match these grant dollars in the implementation of medical homes in two physician practices and in two school-based clinics, as well as evaluate the use of screening tools.

12	The primary	Title \/	Program	contact	nercon.
IZ.	The blimary	Tille v	Program	contact	person.

13	The	children	with 9	special	health	care nee	2ha	(CSHCN)	contact pers	on:

Name	Jeanette Shea-Ramirez, MSW, ACSW	Name	Cathryn Echeverria
Title	Chief, OWCH	Title	Chief, OCSHCN
Address	150 N. 18th Avenue, Suite 320	Address	150 N. 18th Avenue, Suite 330
City	Phoenix	City	Phoenix
State	AZ	State	AZ
Zip	85007	Zip	85007
Phone	(602)364-1419	Phone	(602)542-1860
Fax	(602)364-1494	Fax	(602)542-2589
Email	shearaj@azdhs.gov	Email	echevec@azdhs.gov
Web		Web	

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 10
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

### **FORM 11** TRACKING PERFORMANCE MEASURES [Secs 485 (2)(2)(B)(III) AND 486 (A)(2)(A)(III)] STATE: AZ

PERFORM	JANCE I	MEASURE	# 01

The percent of newborns who are screened and confirmed with condition(s) mandated by their State-sponsored newborn screening programs (e.g. phenylketonuria and hemoglobinopathies) who receive appropriate follow up as defined by their State.

e appropriate follow up as defined by	thon Otato.				
		<u>Annua</u>	I Objective and Perfo	rmance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective			100	100	100
Annual Indicator			100.0	100.0	100.0
Numerator			59	69	75
Denominator			59	69	75
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
		Annua	I Objective and Perfo	rmance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	100	100	100	100	100
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only th not required for futu		e above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators are

#### PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 02

The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 years whose families partner in decision making at all levels and are satisfied with the services they receive. (CSHCN survey)

		Annual (	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective			51.4	52	53
Annual Indicator			51.4	51.4	51.4
Numerator					
Denominator					
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional

		<u>Annual</u>	Objective and Perfo	ormance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	54	55	56	57	58

**Annual Indicator** Denominator

Numerator

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 03					
The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh	o receive coordinate	d, ongoing, compreh	ensive care within a	medical home. (CSHC	CN Survey)
		<u>Annual (</u>	Objective and Perfo	rmance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective			50.5	50.5	51
Annual Indicator			50.5	50.5	50.5
Numerator					
Denominator					
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
		Annual (	Objective and Perfo	rmance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	51.5	52	52.5	53	53.5
Annual Indicator					
Numerator			above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators ar
	not required for futi	iro voor doto			
Denominator	not required for full	ıre year data.			
Denominator	not required for full	ıre year data.			
Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04	not required for fatt		andella in anna 4		have and COUCN
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh	not required for fatt		or public insurance to	pay for the services the	hey need. (CSHCN
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh	not required for fatt	dequate private and/o	or public insurance to		ney need. (CSHCN
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh	not required for fatt	dequate private and/o			hey need. (CSHCN
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh	ose families have ac	dequate private and/o	Objective and Perfo	rmance Data	
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey)	ose families have ac	dequate private and/o	Objective and Perfo 2002	rmance Data 2003	2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey)  Annual Performance Objective	ose families have ac	dequate private and/o	Objective and Perfo 2002 60.8	<u>rmance Data</u> 2003 61	2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey)  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	ose families have ac	dequate private and/o  Annual (  2001	Objective and Perfo 2002 60.8	<u>rmance Data</u> 2003 61	2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey)  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	ose families have ac	dequate private and/o Annual ( 2001	Objective and Perfo 2002 60.8	<u>rmance Data</u> 2003 61	2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey)  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	ose families have ac	dequate private and/o	Objective and Perfo 2002 60.8 60.8	7mance Data 2003 61 60.8 Final	2004 61 60.8
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey)  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	ose families have ac	dequate private and/o  Annual o  2001  Annual o	Objective and Perfo 2002 60.8 60.8 Cobjective and Perfo	7	2004 61 60.8 Provisional
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey)  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	ose families have ac	dequate private and/o	Objective and Perfo 2002 60.8 60.8	7mance Data 2003 61 60.8 Final	2004 61 60.8
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey)  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	ose families have ac	Annual 6	2002 60.8 60.8 Cobjective and Perfo	Final  2008  Final  Timance Data 2008	2004 61 60.8 Provisional
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey)  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000  2005  61	Annual ( 2001  Annual ( 2006  61	Objective and Perfo 2002 60.8 60.8  Objective and Perfo 2007 61	Final  2008  Final  Timance Data 2008	2004 61 60.8 Provisional 2009

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 05					
Percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 whose Survey)	families report the co	ommunity-based se	ervice systems are org	panized so they can us	e them easily. (CSHC
		Annual	Objective and Perfo	ormance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective			70.9	71	72
Annual Indicator			70.9	70.9	70.9
Numerator					
Denominator		•			
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
		Δnnual	Objective and Perfo	ormance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	73	74	75	76	77
Annual Indicator					
Numerator		e Objectives for the	above years. Numer	ator, Denominator and	Annual Indicators are
Numerator	not required for futu		,	,	
Denominator	not required for futt		,	,	
Denominator	not required for futt			,	
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06	not required for futu	ıre year data.	· 		
	not required for futu	ure year data.	ion to all aspects of a	dult life. (CSHCN Surv	
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06	not required for futu	ure year data.	· 	dult life. (CSHCN Surv	
Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06	the services necess	are year data. sary to make transiti Annual 2001	ion to all aspects of ac	dult life. (CSHCN Surv ormance Data 2003	ey)
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received  Annual Performance Objective	the services necess	are year data.  sary to make transiti  Annual  2001	ion to all aspects of act of a	dult life. (CSHCN Surv ormance Data 2003	ey) 2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received  Annual Performance Objective	the services necess	are year data. sary to make transiti Annual 2001	ion to all aspects of act of a	dult life. (CSHCN Surv ormance Data 2003	ey) 2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	the services necess	are year data. sary to make transiti Annual 2001	ion to all aspects of act of a	dult life. (CSHCN Surv ormance Data 2003	ey) 2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	the services necess	are year data. sary to make transiti Annual 2001	ion to all aspects of act of a	dult life. (CSHCN Surv prmance Data 2003 6 5.8	ey)  2004  6  5.8
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	the services necess	are year data. sary to make transiti Annual 2001	ion to all aspects of act of a	dult life. (CSHCN Surv ormance Data 2003	ey) 2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	the services necess	are year data.  sary to make transiti  Annual  2001	ion to all aspects of act of a	odult life. (CSHCN Survented Data 2003 6 5.8	ey) 2004 6 5.8
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	the services necess	are year data.  sary to make transiti  Annual  2001	ion to all aspects of act of a	odult life. (CSHCN Survented Data 2003 6 5.8	ey) 2004 6 5.8
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	the services necess 2000	are year data.  Sary to make transiti  Annual  2001  Annual	ion to all aspects of an Performance 2002  5.8  5.8  Cobjective and Performance 2007	dult life. (CSHCN Survented in the community of the commu	ey)  2004  6  5.8  Provisional
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	the services necess 2000 2005 6	Annual 2006	ion to all aspects of an Performance 2002  5.8  5.8  Cobjective and Performance 2007	commance Data 2003  6 5.8  Final  Primance Data 2008  6	ey)  2004  6  5.8  Provisional  2009  6
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	the services necess  2000  2005  6	Annual 2006  Annual 2006	ion to all aspects of an Performance 2002  5.8  5.8  Cobjective and Performance 2007	dult life. (CSHCN Survented in the community of the commu	ey)  2004  6  5.8  Provisional  2009  6

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 07				5 " 5014 T	5.4
Percent of 19 to 35 month olds who have received full schedule of age Haemophilus Influenza, and Hepatitis B.	appropriate immur	nizations against ivi	easles, Mumps, Kubeli	a, Polio, Diphtheria, i	etanus, Pertussis,
		Annua	al Objective and Perfo	rmance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective	70	7	0 70	70	7
Annual Indicator	67.2	65.	7 69	75	7
Numerator					
Denominator					
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	·			Final	Final
		<u>Annua</u>	al Objective and Perfo	rmance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	78	7	8 79	79	8
Annual Indicator					
		- Objectives for th	a above years. Numera	tar Danaminator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future	ne Objectives for thure year data.	e above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
	Please fill in only the not required for future	ne Objectives for thure year data.	e above years. Numera	ntor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future	ne Objectives for th ure year data.	e above years. Numera	itor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08	Please fill in only the not required for future	ne Objectives for th ure year data.	e above years. Numera	ator, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08	Please fill in only the not required for future	ure year data.			Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08	Please fill in only the not required for future	ure year data.	al Objective and Perfor		Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08	Please fill in only th not required for futu 2000	ure year data. Annua	al Objective and Perfor	rmance Data	
Numerator Denominator  PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08  The rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	Annua	al Objective and Perfore 2002 4 43	rmance Data 2003	2004
Numerator Denominator  PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 The rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	Annua 2001	al Objective and Perfor 2002 4 43 8 35.5	rmance Data 2003 42	2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 The rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	2000  45  41.1  4,284	Annua 2001 4 37.	al Objective and Perfor 2002 4 43 8 35.5 0 3,952	rmance Data 2003 42 35.9	2004
Numerator Denominator  PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 The rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 45 41.1 4,284 104,344	Annua 2001 4 37.	al Objective and Perfor 2002 4 43 8 35.5 0 3,952	rmance Data 2003 42 35.9 4,110	2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 The rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000 45 41.1 4,284 104,344	Annua 2001 4 37.	al Objective and Perfor 2002 4 43 8 35.5 0 3,952	7mance Data 2003 42 35.9 4,110 114,368	<b>2004</b> 4  35.
Numerator Denominator  PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 The rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 45 41.1 4,284 104,344	Annua 2001 4 37. 4,08	al Objective and Perfor 2002 4 43 8 35.5 0 3,952	2003 42 35.9 4,110 114,368 Final	<b>2004</b> 4 35.
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 The rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000 45 41.1 4,284 104,344	Annua 2001 4 37. 4,08	al Objective and Performance 2002 4 43 8 35.5 0 3,952 6 111,218	2003 42 35.9 4,110 114,368 Final	<b>2004</b> 4 35.
Numerator Denominator  PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 The rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 45 41.1 4,284 104,344	Annua 2001 4 37. 4,08 107,84	al Objective and Performance 2002 4 43 8 35.5 0 3,952 6 111,218 al Objective and Performance 2007	rmance Data 2003  42  35.9  4,110  114,368  Final	2004 4 35. Provisional
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 The rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000  45  41.1  4,284  104,344  2005	Annua 2001  4  37.  4,08  107,84	al Objective and Perfore 2002 4 43 8 35.5 0 3,952 6 111,218 al Objective and Perfore 2007 5 34	rmance Data 2003  42  35.9  4,110  114,368  Final  rmance Data 2008  33	2004 4 35. Provisional 2009
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 The rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000  45  41.1  4,284  104,344  2005  Please fill in only the not required for futured for	Annua 2001  4  37.  4,08  107,84  2006  3  ne Objectives for the	al Objective and Performance 2002 4 43 8 35.5 0 3,952 6 111,218 al Objective and Performance 2007	rmance Data 2003  42  35.9  4,110  114,368  Final  rmance Data 2008  33	2004 35 Provisional 2009

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 09						
ercent of third grade children who have received protective sealants	on at least one perr	manent molar tooth.				
			Objective and Perfor			
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	
Annual Performance Objective		12.5	13	30		30
Annual Indicator	29	29	29	36.2		24
Numerator						
Denominator						
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final	
			Objective and Perfor			
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2(
Annual Performance Objective	25	26	27	28		29
Annual Indicator	Please fill in only th	a Objectives for the	ahove veare Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicator	re ·
Numerator	not required for futu	ie Objectives for the a	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual mulcator	IS:
Denominator	not required for futt	ure year data.				
Denominator	not required for fut	ure year data.				
Denominator	not required for futt	ure year data.				
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10			en.			
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10		es per 100,000 childre	en. Objective and Perfor	rmance Data		
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10		es per 100,000 childre		rmance Data 2003	2004	
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10	notor vehicle crashe	es per 100,000 childre	Objective and Perfor			
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by reaching the company of the compan	notor vehicle crashe 2000 7.1	es per 100,000 childre Annual ( 2001 6.7	Objective and Perfor 2002	2003		5.9
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by r  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	2000 7.1 5.8	es per 100,000 childre  Annual (  2001  6.7  5.5	2002 6.3 4.8	<b>2003</b> 5.9		5.9
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by r  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	2000 7.1 5.8 62	es per 100,000 childre  Annual 6  2001  6.7  5.5  65	2002 6.3 4.8	5.9 5.3 67		5.9
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by r  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	2000 7.1 5.8 62 1,073,045	es per 100,000 childre  Annual 6  2001  6.7  5.5  65	2002 6.3 4.8	5.9 5.3 67		5.9
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10  the rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by respective to the company of the	2000 7.1 5.8 62 1,073,045	es per 100,000 childre  Annual 6  2001  6.7  5.5  65	2002 6.3 4.8	5.9 5.3 67 1,261,764		5.9
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by r  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000 7.1 5.8 62 1,073,045	es per 100,000 childre  Annual 6  2001  6.7  5.5  65  1,189,772	2002 6.3 4.8	5.9 5.3 67 1,261,764 Final		5.9
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by r  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000 7.1 5.8 62 1,073,045	es per 100,000 childre  Annual 6  2001  6.7  5.5  65  1,189,772	2002 6.3 4.8 59 1,226,721	5.9 5.3 67 1,261,764 Final		5.9
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by r  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	7.1 5.8 62 1,073,045	es per 100,000 childre  Annual 6  2001  6.7  5.5  65  1,189,772	2002  6.3  4.8  59  1,226,721	5.9 5.3 67 1,261,764 Final	Provisional	5.9
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by r  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 7.1 5.8 62 1,073,045 2005 5	es per 100,000 childre  Annual 6  2001  6.7  5.5  65  1,189,772  Annual 6  2006	2002  6.3  4.8  59  1,226,721   Objective and Perfor 2007  4	5.9 5.3 67 1,261,764 Final  mance Data 2008	Provisional 2009	5.9
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by r  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	2000 7.1 5.8 62 1,073,045 2005 5	es per 100,000 childre  Annual (  2001  6.7  5.5  65  1,189,772  Annual (  2006	2002  6.3  4.8  59  1,226,721   Objective and Perfor 2007  4	5.9 5.3 67 1,261,764 Final	Provisional 2009	5.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 11							
ercentage of mothers who breastfeed their infants at hospital discha	rge.						
			ual Objective and Perf		<u>a</u>	2004	
Assess I Books assess and District	2000	2001	<b>2002</b> 74 80	2003	90	2004	90
Annual Performance Objective					80		80
Annual Indicator	78.6		).1 78.4	<u> </u>	75.4		75.4
Numerator							
Denominator			_				
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final		Provision	nal
		<b>A</b>	ral Objective and Dent	Date	_		
	2005	Ann 2006	ual Objective and Perf 2007	ormance Data	<u>a</u>	2009	
Annual Performance Objective			80 80		80		8
Annual Indicator							
	Diagon fill in anhy th	ne Objectives for	the above years. Nume	rator, Denomir	nator and	Annual Indi	icators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ne Objectives for ure year data.	the above years. Nume	rator, Denomir	nator and	Annual Indi	icators
Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ure year data.	the above years. Nume	ormance Data		Annual Indi	licators
Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ure year data.				Annual Indi	icators
Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12	Please fill in only the not required for fut the not required for fut the hospital discharge.	ure year data.  Ann 2001	ual Objective and Perf	ormance Data 2003			
Numerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12  ercentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before	Please fill in only the not required for fut the hospital discharge.  2000	ure year data. Ann 2001	ual Objective and Perf 2002	ormance Data 2003	<u>a</u>		9
Numerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12  ercentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before  Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for fut the not required for fut the hospital discharge.  2000  85  91.2	ure year data. Ann 2001	ual Objective and Perf 2002 85 85 3.3 97.9	ormance Data 2003	<b>a</b> 98		98.
Numerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12  ercentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut the not required for fut the hospital discharge.  2000  85  91.2  77,500	Ann 2001	ual Objective and Perf 2002 85 85 3.3 97.9	ormance Data 2003	<b>a</b> 98 98.0		9 98. 96,87
Numerator  Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12  ercentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut.  hospital discharge.  2000  85  91.2  77,500  84,985	Ann 2001 9.	ual Objective and Perf 2002 85 85 3.3 97.9	ormance Data 2003	98 98.0 89,233		9 98. 96,87
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut.  hospital discharge.  2000  85  91.2  77,500  84,985	Ann 2001 9. 79,4 85,2	2002 85 85 3.3 97.9 70 85,368 13 87,200	ormance Data 2003 	98 98.0 89,233 91,054	2004	9 98. 96,87 98,55
REFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 ercentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut.  hospital discharge.  2000  85  91.2  77,500  84,985	Ann 2001 9 79,4 85,2	2002 85 85 3.3 97.9 70 85,368 13 87,200	ormance Data 2003  Final	98 98.0 89,233 91,054	2004 Final	9 98. 96,87
Rumerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 ercentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	Please fill in only the not required for fut.  hospital discharge.  2000  85  91.2  77,500  84,985	Ann 2001 9 79,4 85,2 Ann 2006	2002 85 85 3.3 97.9 70 85,368 13 87,200	ormance Data 2003 Final  ormance Data 2008	98 98.0 89,233 91,054	2004	9 98. 96,87
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut.  hospital discharge.  2000  85  91.2  77,500  84,985  2005	Ann 2001 9 79,4 85,2 Ann 2006 9	2002 85 85 3.3 97.9 70 85,368 13 87,200 201 201 201 201 201 201 201 201 201	Final  2008	98 98.0 89,233 91,054	2004 Final	9 98. 96,87 98,55
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Indicator  Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut.  hospital discharge.  2000  85  91.2  77,500  84,985  2005	Ann 2001  9 79,4 85,2 Ann 2006	2002  85 85  3.3 97.9  70 85,368  13 87,200  2al Objective and Perf	Final  2008	98 98.0 89,233 91,054	2004 Final	98 96,87 98,55

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 15					
he percent of very low birth weight infants among all live births.					
		<u>Annual</u>	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective	1	1	1	1	
Annual Indicator	1.3	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1
Numerator	1,115	923	982	1,024	
Denominator	84,985	85,213	87,379	90,783	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
		Annual	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	1	1	1	1	
Annual Indicator					
Numerator	Please fill in only th	ne Objectives for the	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16	not required for futu gh 19.	ure year data. Annual	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 ne rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu	not required for futu gh 19. 2000	ure year data.	Objective and Perfor 2002		2004
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 ne rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu  Annual Performance Objective	gh 19.	ure year data.  Annual 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	<b>2004</b> 16.
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 he rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	gh 19.  2000  17.3  11.1	ure year data.  Annual 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002 16.7	mance Data 2003 16.4	<b>2004</b> 16.
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	gh 19.  2000  17.3  11.1  41	Annual 2001 17 10.5	Objective and Perfor 2002 16.7 9.9 39	mance Data 2003 16.4 9.7	<b>2004</b> 16.
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 ne rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	gh 19.  2000  17.3  11.1	Annual 2001 17 10.5	Objective and Perfor 2002 16.7 9.9 39	mance Data 2003  16.4  9.7  39	<b>2004</b> 16.
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 he rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	gh 19.  2000  17.3  11.1  41	Annual 2001 17 10.5	Objective and Perfor 2002 16.7 9.9 39	mance Data 2003  16.4  9.7  39  403,088	2004 16.4 9.1
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 ne rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	not required for future gh 19.  2000  17.3  11.1  41  367,722	Annual 2001 17 10.5 40 380,103	Objective and Perfor 2002 16.7 9.9 39 391,964	mance Data 2003  16.4  9.7  39  403,088  Final	2004 16. 9.
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 ne rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	not required for future gh 19.  2000  17.3  11.1  41  367,722	Annual 2001 17 10.5 40 380,103 Annual 2006	Objective and Perfor 2002  16.7  9.9  39  391,964  Objective and Perfor 2007	mance Data 2003  16.4  9.7  39  403,088  Final  mance Data 2008	2004 16. 9. Provisional
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	not required for future gh 19.  2000  17.3  11.1  41  367,722	Annual 2001 17 10.5 40 380,103	Objective and Perfor 2002  16.7  9.9  39  391,964  Objective and Perfor 2007	mance Data 2003  16.4  9.7  39  403,088  Final	2004 16. 9. Provisional
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 ne rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Indicator  Annual Indicator	not required for future gh 19.  2000  17.3  11.1  41  367,722  2005  9.5	Annual 2001 17 10.5 40 380,103 Annual 2006 9	Objective and Perfor 2002  16.7  9.9  39  391,964  Objective and Perfor 2007	mance Data 2003  16.4  9.7  39  403,088  Final  mance Data 2008  8.5	2004 16. 9. Provisional 2009

ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 17 ercent of very low birth weight infants delivered at facilities for high-r	isk deliveries and n	eonates.						
,			Annual (	Objective and Per	ormance Da	ıta		
	2000	2001		2002	2003		2004	
Annual Performance Objective	79.5		80	80.	5	81		81.5
Annual Indicator	69.5		78.7	77.	<u> </u>	80.1		80.
Numerator	775		657	67	3	741		
Denominator	1,115		835	87	1	925		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final		Provision	nal
			Annual (	Objective and Per	ormance Da	ıta		
	2005	2006		2007	2008		2009	
Annual Performance Objective	82		83	8	3	84		8
Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ha Ohioati	ves for the a	above years. Nume	rator, Denom	ninator and	Annual Ind	icators
Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	he Objecti ture year d	ves for the a	above years. Nume	rator, Denom	ninator and	Annual Ind	icators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18	Please fill in only the not required for fut ginning in the first to	he Objecti ture year d	ata.	Objective and Per	ormance Da			icators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 recent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be	Please fill in only the not required for fut ginning in the first to	he Objecti ture year d	Annual (	Objective and Per	ormance Da 2003	ıta	Annual Ind	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 cent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be	Please fill in only the not required for fut ginning in the first to 2000	he Objecti ture year d rimester.	Annual C	Objective and Per 2002	ormance Da 2003	1 <b>ta</b>		3
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 cent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut ginning in the first to 2000 76	he Objectiture year d	Annual ( 77 75.5	Dbjective and Per 2002 75.	2003 3	nta 79 75.6		3
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 recent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut required for fut ginning in the first to 2000  76  75.0  63,697	he Objecti ture year d rimester. 2001	Annual ( 77 75.5 64,377	Dbjective and Per 2002 7 75. 66,14	2003 3	79 75.6 68,632		8
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 Indicator of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut required for fut ginning in the first to 2000 76.063,697 84,985	he Objecti ture year d rimester. 2001	Annual ( 77 75.5	Dbjective and Per 2002 75.	2003 3 7	nta 79 75.6	2004	8 75.
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 recent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut required for fut ginning in the first to 2000 76.063,697 84,985	he Objecti ture year d rimester. 2001	Annual ( 77 75.5 64,377	Dbjective and Per 2002 7 75. 66,14	2003 3	79 75.6 68,632		8 75.
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 recent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut required for fut ginning in the first to 2000  76  75.0  63,697  84,985	ne Objecti ture year d rimester.	77 75.5 64,377 85,213	2002 7 75. 66,14 87,37  Objective and Per	Final	79 75.6 68,632 90,783	2004 Provision	8 75.
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 recent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut not required for fut ginning in the first to 2000 76. 75.0 63,697 84,985	he Objecti ture year d rimester. 2001	77 75.5 64,377 85,213	Dbjective and Per 2002 7 75. 66,14 87,37	Final	79 75.6 68,632 90,783	2004	8 75.

STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 1								
Proportion of low-income women who receive reproductive health/fam	ily planning services	S.						
			-	ive and Perfor		<u>a</u>		
	2000	2001	200		2003	47.0	2004	47
Annual Performance Objective			7.9	17.9		17.9		17.9
	19.5		7.2	14.1		9.3		10.8
Numerator	54,495	50,	260	41,231		29,610		36,13
Denominator	280,108	291,	862	291,862	3	319,289		333,400
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final		Final	
	2005	<u>Anı</u> 2006	<u>nual Object</u> 200	ive and Perfor	mance Data 2008	<u>a</u>	2009	
Annual Performance Objective				11	2000	11	2003	1.
			<del></del>	<u></u>				
Annual Indicator	Diagon fill in anhy th	ne Objectives fo	the above	years. Numera	tor, Denomir	nator and	Annual Ir	ndicators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future	ne Objectives fo ure year data.	the above	years. Numera	tor, Denomir	nator and a	Annual Ir	ndicators
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for futured for f	ure year data. ugh 19.	nual Object	ive and Perfor	mance Data			ndicators
Numerator Denominator  STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 Hospitalizations for nonfatal injuries and poisonings per 100,000 adole	Please fill in only the not required for future seconds age 15 through 2000	ure year data. ugh 19. Ann 2001	nual Object 200	ive and Perfor		<u>a</u>	Annual Ir	
Numerator Denominator  STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2  dospitalizations for nonfatal injuries and poisonings per 100,000 adole  Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for futures escents age 15 through the notation of the no	ure year data. ugh 19. <u>Anı</u> <b>2001</b>	nual Object 200 690	ive and Perfor 2 685	mance Data	<b>a</b> 680		67
Numerator Denominator  STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 Hospitalizations for nonfatal injuries and poisonings per 100,000 adole	Please fill in only the not required for future escents age 15 through the second escent esce	ure year data.  ugh 19.  Ani 2001	nual Object 200 690 59.8	ive and Perfor	mance Data	<b>a</b> 680 627.4		67
Numerator Denominator  STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 Hospitalizations for nonfatal injuries and poisonings per 100,000 adole  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future escents age 15 through the second escents age 15 through the	ugh 19.  Ani 2001	100 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ive and Perfor 2 685	mance Data 2003	680 627.4 2,529		
Numerator Denominator  STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 Hospitalizations for nonfatal injuries and poisonings per 100,000 adole  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future escents age 15 through the second escent escen	ure year data.  ugh 19.  Ani 2001	100 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ive and Perfor 2 685	mance Data 2003	<b>a</b> 680 627.4		67
Numerator Denominator  STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 Hospitalizations for nonfatal injuries and poisonings per 100,000 adole  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for futures escents age 15 through the second of	ugh 19.  Ani 2001	100 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ive and Perfor 2 685	mance Data 2003	680 627.4 2,529		67 627.
Numerator Denominator  STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 Hospitalizations for nonfatal injuries and poisonings per 100,000 adole  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for futures escents age 15 through the second of	ugh 19.  Ani 2001  6: 2, 380,	103	ive and Perfor 2 685 659.8	mance Data 2003	680 627.4 2,529 403,088	2004	67 627.
Numerator Denominator  STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 Hospitalizations for nonfatal injuries and poisonings per 100,000 adole  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for futures escents age 15 through the second of	ugh 19.  Ani 2001  68  2, 380,	103 Object	ive and Perfor 2 685 659.8 ive and Perfor	mance Data 2003  Final	680 627.4 2,529 403,088	2004 Provision	67 627.
Numerator Denominator  STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 Hospitalizations for nonfatal injuries and poisonings per 100,000 adole  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	Please fill in only the not required for futures escents age 15 through the second of	ugh 19.  2001  69  2,  380,  Ann  2006	59.8 103	ive and Perfor 2 685 659.8 ive and Perfor	mance Data 2003	680 627.4 2,529 403,088	2004	67 627. onal
Numerator Denominator  STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 Hospitalizations for nonfatal injuries and poisonings per 100,000 adole  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for future descents age 15 through the second sec	ugh 19.  2001  69  2,  380,  Ann  2006	103 Object	ive and Perfor 2 685 659.8 ive and Perfor	mance Data 2003  Final	680 627.4 2,529 403,088	2004 Provision	67 627. onal
Numerator Denominator  STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 Hospitalizations for nonfatal injuries and poisonings per 100,000 adole  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for future descents age 15 through the second sec	ugh 19.  Ani 2001  6: 2, 380, Ani 2006	59.8 508 103 nual Object 200	ive and Perfor 2 685 659.8 ive and Perfor 7	mance Data 2003  Final  mance Data 2008	680 627.4 2,529 403,088	2004 Provision 2009	67 627. onal

TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6					
reventable child deaths per 100,000 children under age 18.					
			Objective and Perfor		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective	19.5	18.5	17.5	17.5	17.
Annual Indicator		17.5	15.0	16.5	16.
Numerator	247	247	277	248	
Denominator	1,366,947	1,413,518	1,849,413	1,499,040	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
		Annual (	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	16	16	16	16	1
Annual Indicator					
Annual Indicator	Diagon fill in only th	he Objectives for the a	above years. Numerat	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	he Objectives for the a cure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator  TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 7	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ure year data. r 100,000.	above years. Numeral	mance Data	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator  TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 7  The rate of children 1 through 14 hospitalized for ambulatory care ser	Please fill in only the not required for fut not not required for fut not not not not not not not not not no	r 100,000.  Annual 6	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004
Numerator Denominator  TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 7	Please fill in only the not required for fut not not required for fut not not not not not not not not not no	ure year data. r 100,000.	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	2004
Numerator Denominator  TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 7 e rate of children 1 through 14 hospitalized for ambulatory care ser	Please fill in only the not required for fut sistive conditions pe	r 100,000. Annual 0 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	<b>2004</b> 51
Numerator Denominator  TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 7  The rate of children 1 through 14 hospitalized for ambulatory care ser  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut not not required for fut not not not not not not not not not no	2001 535 489.7 5,434	Objective and Perfor 2002 525	mance Data 2003 515	<b>2004</b> 51
Numerator Denominator  TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 7  The rate of children 1 through 14 hospitalized for ambulatory care ser  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut sistive conditions per 2000 545	2001 535 489.7 5,434	Objective and Perfor 2002 525	mance Data 2003 515 568.7	<b>2004</b> 51
Numerator  Denominator  TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 7  The rate of children 1 through 14 hospitalized for ambulatory care ser  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut sitive conditions per section of the	2001 535 489.7 5,434	Objective and Perfor 2002 525	mance Data 2003 515 568.7 6,693	
Numerator Denominator  TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 7  The rate of children 1 through 14 hospitalized for ambulatory care ser  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut sitive conditions per section of the	2001 535 489.7 5,434 1,109,672	Objective and Perfor 2002 525	mance Data 2003  515  568.7  6,693  1,176,805  Final	<b>2004</b> 51 568.
Numerator Denominator  TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 7 The rate of children 1 through 14 hospitalized for ambulatory care ser  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut sitive conditions per section of the	2001 535 489.7 5,434 1,109,672	Objective and Perfor 2002 525 489.7	mance Data 2003  515  568.7  6,693  1,176,805  Final	<b>2004</b> 51 568.
Numerator Denominator  TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 7  The rate of children 1 through 14 hospitalized for ambulatory care ser  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut not not required for fut not not not not not not not not not no	2001 535 489.7 5,434 1,109,672	Objective and Perfor 2002 525 489.7 Objective and Perfor	mance Data 2003  515  568.7  6,693  1,176,805  Final	2004 51 568. Provisional
Numerator Denominator  TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 7  The rate of children 1 through 14 hospitalized for ambulatory care ser  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut t	2001  535  489.7  5,434  1,109,672  Annual C	Objective and Perfor 2002  525  489.7  Objective and Perfor 2007	mance Data 2003  515  568.7  6,693  1,176,805  Final  mance Data 2008  565	2004 51 568. Provisional 2009
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 7 The rate of children 1 through 14 hospitalized for ambulatory care ser  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Indicator  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	2001  535  489.7  5,434  1,109,672  Annual (2006)  565	Objective and Perfor 2002  525  489.7  Objective and Perfor 2007	mance Data 2003  515  568.7  6,693  1,176,805  Final  mance Data 2008  565	2004 5 568 Provisional 2009

STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 9						
Percent of children age 3 through 20 who had their teeth cleaned by a	dentist or dental hy		•			
	2000	<u>Annual (</u> 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004	
Annual Parformance Objective		2001 58.7	<b>2002</b> 60	2003	2004	64
Annual Performance Objective				58.7		72.5
		58.7		36.7		12.5
Numerator						
Denominator						
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final	
				<b>.</b> .		
	2005	2006	Objective and Perfor 2007	mance Data 2008	2009	
Annual Performance Objective		73	73	73		73
Annual Indicator						
Numerator	Please fill in only the	ne Objectives for the	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	I Annual Indicato	ors a
Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ne Objectives for the aure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicato	ors
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10	not required for fut	ure yéar data. Annual (	Objective and Perfor	mance Data		ors a
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 Child abuse hospitalizations per 100,000 children under age 18.	not required for futu	ure yéar data.  Annual (	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	Annual Indicato	
Child abuse hospitalizations per 100,000 children under age 18.  Annual Performance Objective	not required for fut	Annual ( 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002 7.5	mance Data 2003 7.5		7.5
Child abuse hospitalizations per 100,000 children under age 18.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	2000	Annual ( 2001 7.5 10.3	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003 7.5 9.9		7.5
Child abuse hospitalizations per 100,000 children under age 18.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	2000 7.5	Annual ( 2001 7.5 10.3	Objective and Perfor 2002 7.5	7.5 9.9		7.5
Child abuse hospitalizations per 100,000 children under age 18.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000 7.5 103 1,366,947	Annual ( 2001 7.5 10.3	Objective and Perfor 2002 7.5	7.5 9.9 149	2004	7.5
CHAIR PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 Child abuse hospitalizations per 100,000 children under age 18.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	2000 7.5 103 1,366,947	Annual ( 2001 7.5 10.3	Objective and Perfor 2002 7.5	7.5 9.9		7.5
Child abuse hospitalizations per 100,000 children under age 18.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000 7.5 103 1,366,947	Annual (2001 7.5 10.3 145 1,413,518	Objective and Perfor 2002 7.5 10.3	7.5 9.9 149 1,499,040 Final	2004	7.5 9.9
Child abuse hospitalizations per 100,000 children under age 18.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000 7.5 103 1,366,947	Annual (2001 7.5 10.3 145 1,413,518	Objective and Perfor 2002 7.5	7.5 9.9 149 1,499,040 Final	2004	7.5
Child abuse hospitalizations per 100,000 children under age 18.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000 7.5 103 1,366,947 2005	Annual ( 2001  7.5  10.3  145  1,413,518	Objective and Perfor 2002 7.5 10.3 Objective and Perfor	7.5 9.9 149 1,499,040 Final	2004 Provisional	7.5
Child abuse hospitalizations per 100,000 children under age 18.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000  7.5  103  1,366,947  2005	Annual (2006 Annual (2001 7.5 10.3 145 1,413,518	Objective and Perfor 2002 7.5 10.3  Objective and Perfor 2007	7.5 9.9 149 1,499,040 Final  mance Data 2008	2004 Provisional 2009	7.5 9.9
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 Child abuse hospitalizations per 100,000 children under age 18.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000  7.5  103  1,366,947  2005	Annual (2001 7.5 10.3 145 1,413,518 Annual (2006 9	Objective and Perfor 2002  7.5  10.3  Objective and Perfor 2007	7.5 9.9 149 1,499,040 Final  mance Data 2008	2004 Provisional 2009	7.

Denominator

		Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective	21.2	21	21	20	2
Annual Indicator	21.2	24.3	24.3	17.5	17.
Numerator	404	479		365	
Denominator	1,903,939	1,969,619		2,089,402	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
		Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	17	17	17	17	1

None

### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Performance Measure #2

Field Name: PM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2002 for this performance measure.

2. Section Number: Performance Measure #3

Field Name: PM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2002 for this performance measure.

3. Section Number: Performance Measure #4

Field Name: PM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2002 for this performance measure.

4. Section Number: Performance Measure #5

Field Name: PM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2002 for this performance measure.

Section Number: Performance Measure #6

Field Name: PM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Because only one of the States (Maine) met the NCHS standards for reliability for PM 6, the 2002 indicator is the national average except for Maine which has its State value noted. The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2002 for this performance measure.

6. Section Number: Performance Measure #7

Field Name: PM07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The source of immunization data is the CDC National Immunization Survey (http://www.cdc.gov/nip/coverage/NIS/01/toc-01.htm). Previously, the reported rate represented the coverage level for 4DPT, 3OPV and 1 MMR only, because data were not reliably available for the full series recommended by the CDC, which also includes 3 doses of Hep B and 3 doses of Hib. No data were available for this measure for 1998, but the data points for 1999 forward have been changed to reflect the full 4:3:1:3:3 series. Performance objectives were also changed to reflect the change in the measure. Estimates for data points are based on reports for the following date ranges:

1999 = July 1998 through Jun 1999

2000 = "2000"

2001 = Jan 01 through Dec 01 2002 = Jul 01 through Jun 02 2003 = Jul 02 through Jun 03. 2004= Jul 03 through Jun 04

The 2004 estimate reflects the immunization status of children by age two for children who were 19-35 months of age, born between August 2000 and November 2002. The estimate tolerates 3.8% error at a 95% confidence level.

7. Section Number: Performance Measure #8

Field Name: PM08 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

2004 data are not yet available. The rate is provisionally set at the 2003 rate until the data becomes available in Fall 2005.

Section Number: Performance Measure #9

Field Name: PM09 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data source for this measure has been changed. Figures for previous years were from a statewide oral health survey of over 80 communities. The measure for 2004 is based on children in schools from five of the 15 counties in Arizona where at least 65% of the student population in the school are on the Free and Reduced Lunch program. Data is collected on second grade children because physiologically, their average age is the optimum time for sealant placement on first permanent molars. Presence of existing dental sealants is determined at the time of the screening for sealant need, and before any additional sealants are placed. Data reported for 2004 were collected during the 2003-2004 school year and 24% of students were found to have sealants. The same surveillance method on the previous academic year yielded an estimate of 20%.

9. Section Number: Performance Measure #10

Field Name: PM10 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data for 2004 are not yet available. The estimate for 2004 is provisionally set at the 2003 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2005. Estimates for 2001 on reflect motor vehicle death rates for all children ages 0-14. Prior to 2001, estimates were based on ages 1-14.

Section Number: Performance Measure #11

Field Name: PM11 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Source: "Mothers Survey," Ross Products division, Abbott Laboratories, Inc. Data for 2004 not yet available. The estimate for 2004 is provisionally set at the 2003 rate until data become available.

11. Section Number: Performance Measure #12

Field Name: PM12 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported are estimated based on 41 out of 45 birthing hospitals. While all 45 birthing hospitals have universal screening programs, reporting to the ADHS is voluntary, and not all report. Among the 78,139 births at these 41 hospitals, 76,774, or 98.3% were screened. The best estimate of the numerator for this measure on a statewide basis is 98% of all births: 98,551 x .983 = 96,876.

12. Section Number: Performance Measure #13

Field Name: PM13 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data source is http://www.census.gov/hhes/hlthins/historic/hihistt5.html. Data for 2004 not yet available. The estimate for 2004 is provisionally set at the 2003 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2005.

13. Section Number: Performance Measure #14

Field Name: PM14 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The measure reported is the total number of Medicaid members age 1-20 with at least one paid service during the reporting year divided by the total number of members age 1-20 eligible for Medicaid at any time during the reporting year. A single point-in-time estimate of the potentially eligible population is actually smaller than the number of service recipients yielding a result of over 100%.

14. Section Number: Performance Measure #15

Field Name: PM15 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data for 2004 are not yet available. The estimate for 2004 is provisionally set at the 2003 rate until data becomes available in the Fall of 2005.

15. Section Number: Performance Measure #16

Field Name: PM16 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data for 2004 are not yet available. The estimate for 2004 is provisionally set at the 2003 rate until data becomes available in the Fall of 2005.

16. Section Number: Performance Measure #17

Field Name: PM17 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data source for this measures is birth certificate data for live births. Births in which the birth weight was recorded as being under 450 grams are excluded as a data quality measure, as it is unlikely that these records represent actual birth weights. Only facilities certified by the Arizona Perinatal Trust as level III facilities are included in the numerator. Banner Desert Samaritan became certified as a Level III on 10/1/02. Births occuring there were included in the numerator for 2002 if the date of birth was on or after 10/1/02. Data for 2004 are not yet available. The estimate for 2004 is provisionally set at the 2003 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2005.

17. Section Number: Performance Measure #18

Field Name: PM18 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data for 2004 are not yet available. The estimate for 2004 is provisionally set at the 2003 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2005.

18. Section Number: State Performance Measure #2

Field Name: SM2 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Problems with tabulating hospital discharge data prevented reporting on this measure for 2002. Data for 2004 are not yet available. The estimate for 2004 is provisionally set at the 2003 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2005.

19. Section Number: State Performance Measure #6

Field Name: SM6 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Beginning with the rate presented for 2003, the preventable death rate is adjusted to factor in an estimate of the number of deaths likely to have been preventable among the cases that were not reviewed. There were a total of 995 resident deaths under age 18 in the state of Arizona during 2003. The Child Fatality Review Team reviewed 904 (or 91%) of the deaths, and found 24.9% of the cases reviewed to have been preventable. There were 91 deaths that the team did not review. Assuming that 24.9% of those cases had been preventable, there would be 23 more preventable deaths (91 x .249 = 22.6; rounded to the nearest whole number=23). These cases are added to the numerator (225 reviewed cases found to be preventable + 23 cases among non-reviewed cases assumed to be preventable = 248). Data for 2004 are not yet available. The rate for 2003 is provisionally submitted as the estimate for 2004.

20. Section Number: State Performance Measure #7

Field Name: SM7 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Problems with tabulating hospital discharge data prevented reporting on this measure for 2002. Data for 2004 are not yet available. The estimate for 2004 is provisionally set at the 2003 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2005.

21. Section Number: State Performance Measure #9

Field Name: SM9 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Previously, this measure was based on Arizona survey data from 2001 for children age 3 through 20. This estimate is based on National Survey of Childrens Health data, which includes data on children under age 18. Respondents were asked whether children received routine preventive dental services in the last 12 months. Respondents were not asked the question about children who had no natural teeth, or who were younger than age one. To remain as consistent as possible with the previous measure, children younger than age three were excluded from analysis.

22. Section Number: State Performance Measure #10

Field Name: SM10 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Problems with tabulating hospital discharge data prevented reporting on this measure for 2002. Data for 2004 not yet available. The estimate for 2004 is provisionally set at the 2003 rate until data becomes available in the Fall of 2005.

23. Section Number: State Performance Measure #11

Field Name: SM11 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Problems with tabulating hospital discharge data prevented reporting on this measure for 2002. Data for 2004 not yet available. The estimate for 2004 is provisionally set at the 2003 rate until data becomes available in the Fall of 2005.

24. Section Number: State Performance Measure #12

Field Name: SM12 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

# FORM 12 TRACKING HEALTH OUTCOME MEASURES [SECS 505 (A)(2)(B)(III) AND 506 (A)(2)(A)(III)] STATE: AZ

OUTCOME MEASURE # 01								
The infant mortality rate per 1,000 live births.								
			Annual O	bjective and Perfor	mance Dat	<u>ta</u>		
	2000	2001		2002	2003		2004	
Annual Performance Objective	7.6		7.5	7.5		7.4		7.4
Annual Indicator	6.7		6.9	6.3		6.5		6.5
Numerator	568		587	552		586		
Denominator	84,985		85,213	87,379		90,783		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final		Provisional	
			Annual O	bjective and Perfor	mance Dat	t <u>a</u>		
	2005	2006		2007	2008		2009	
Annual Performance Objective	6.3		6.3	6.2		6.2		6.
Annual Indicator								
Denominator	not required for fut	ure year dat	a.					
OUTCOME MEASURE # 02		ure year dat	a.					
OUTCOME MEASURE # 02				bjective and Perfor	rmance Dat	t <u>a</u>		
OUTCOME MEASURE # 02				bjective and Perfor 2002	mance Date	<u>ta</u>	2004	
OUTCOME MEASURE # 02	rate.			<del>-</del>		t <b>a</b>	2004	1.8
DUTCOME MEASURE # 02  the ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality  Annual Performance Objective	rate.	2001	Annual O	2002			2004	
DUTCOME MEASURE # 02  the ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	2000 2 1.8	2001	Annual O	2002		1.9	2004	
DUTCOME MEASURE # 02 The ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	2000 2 1.8 12.5	2001	2 4.0	2002 1.9 2.2		1.9	2004	
OUTCOME MEASURE # 02 The ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	2000 2 1.8 12.5 6.9	2001	Annual O 2 4.0 22.7	2002 1.9 2.2 13.1		1.9 2.9 15.9	2004 Provisional	2.9
DUTCOME MEASURE # 02 The ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000 2 1.8 12.5 6.9	2001	Annual O 2 4.0 22.7	2002 1.9 2.2 13.1	2003	1.9 2.9 15.9		2.9
DUTCOME MEASURE # 02 The ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000 2 1.8 12.5 6.9	2001	2 4.0 22.7 5.7	2002  1.9  2.2  13.1  5.9	2003 Final	1.9 2.9 15.9 5.4	Provisional	2.9
OUTCOME MEASURE # 02 The ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 2 1.8 12.5 6.9	2001	2 4.0 22.7 5.7	2002 1.9 2.2 13.1 5.9 Objective and Performance 2007	2003 Final	1.9 2.9 15.9 5.4		
Cutcome Measure # 02 The ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 2 1.8 12.5 6.9 2005 1.8	2001	2 4.0 22.7 5.7	2002  1.9  2.2  13.1  5.9	2003 Final	1.9 2.9 15.9 5.4	Provisional	2.9
Cutcome Measure # 02 The ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	2000 2 1.8 12.5 6.9 2005 1.8	2001 	2 4.0 22.7 5.7 Annual O	2002 1.9 2.2 13.1 5.9 Objective and Performance 2007	Final  Tmance Date 2008	1.9 2.9 15.9 5.4	Provisional	2.9

OUTCOME MEASURE # 03					
The neonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.					
			al Objective and Perfor		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective		4.	7 4.7	4.6	4.6
Annual Indicator	4.3	4.	5 4.1	4.3	4.3
Numerator	364	38	6 360	388	
Denominator	84,985	85,21	87,379	90,783	-
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
		Annua	al Objective and Perfor	rmance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	4.2	4.	1 4	4	
Annual Indicator					
Annual Indicator	Diamentili in andre the	ne Objectives for th	e above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fution	ne Objectives for th ure year data.	e above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
	Please fill in only the not required for fution	ne Objectives for th ure year data.	e above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fution	ne Objectives for th ure year data.	e above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator  DUTCOME MEASURE # 04	Please fill in only the not required for fution	ne Objectives for th ure year data.	e above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fution	ure year data.	· 		Annual Indicators :
Numerator Denominator  DUTCOME MEASURE # 04	Please fill in only the not required for fution	ure year data.	e above years. Numera  al Objective and Perfor		Annual Indicators :
Numerator Denominator  DUTCOME MEASURE # 04	Please fill in only the not required for future for future.	ure year data.  Annua 2001	al Objective and Perfor 2002	rmance Data	2004
Numerator Denominator  DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	ure year data.  Annua 2001	al Objective and Perfor 2002 5 2.5	rmance Data 2003	2004
Numerator Denominator  DUTCOME MEASURE # 04  The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	Annua 2001	al Objective and Perfor 2002 5 2.5 4 2.2	rmance Data 2003 2.5	2004
Numerator  Denominator  DUTCOME MEASURE # 04  The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	Annua 2001 2.: 20	al Objective and Perfor 2002 5 2.5 4 2.2 1 192	<u>rmance Data</u> 2003  2.5  2.2	2004
Numerator Denominator  DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	2000  2.6  2.4  204  84,985	Annua 2001 2.: 20	al Objective and Perfor 2002 5 2.5 4 2.2 1 192	2003 2.5 2.2	
Numerator Denominator  DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000  2.6  2.4  204  84,985	Annua 2001 2.: 20	al Objective and Perfor 2002 5 2.5 4 2.2 1 192	2003 2.5 2.2 198 90,783	2004 2.:
Numerator Denominator  DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000  2.6  2.4  204  84,985	Annua 2001 2.: 20 20 85,21:	al Objective and Perfor 2002 5 2.5 4 2.2 1 192	2.5 2.2 198 90,783	2004 2.:
Numerator Denominator  DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000  2.6  2.4  204  84,985	Annua 2001 2 20 85,21: Annua 2006	al Objective and Perfor 2002  5 2.5  4 2.2  1 192  3 87,379  al Objective and Perfor 2007	2.5 2.2 198 90,783	2004 2.3 Provisional
Numerator Denominator  DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000  2.6  2.4  204  84,985	Annua 2001 2.: 200 85,21:	al Objective and Perfor 2002  5 2.5  4 2.2  1 192  3 87,379  al Objective and Perfor 2007	2.5 2.2 198 90,783 Final	2004 2.5 2.5 Provisional
Numerator Denominator  DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000  2.6  2.4  204  84,985	Annua 2001 2 200 85,21: Annua 2006 2	al Objective and Perfor 2002 5 2.5 4 2.2 1 192 3 87,379 al Objective and Perfor 2007 1 2.1	2.5 2.2 198 90,783 Final  rmance Data 2008	2004 2. 2. Provisional 2009
DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000  2.6  2.4  204  84,985  2005  2.2  Please fill in only the not required for futton to require for futton to require for futton to required for futton to required for futton to require for futto	Annua 2001 2 200 85,21: Annua 2006 2	al Objective and Perfor 2002  5 2.5  4 2.2  1 192  3 87,379  al Objective and Perfor 2007	2.5 2.2 198 90,783 Final  rmance Data 2008	2004 2 2 Provisional 2009

Denominator

OUTCOME MEASURE # 05					
The perinatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births plus fetal deaths.					
			Objective and Perfor		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective	10.2	10.1	10	10	10
Annual Indicator	10.8	11.6	10.4	10.1	10.
Numerator	928	999	911	926	
Denominator	85,637	85,916	88,006	91,411	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
		Annual (	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	9.5	9.5	9	9	
Numerator Denominator	not required for futi	ne Objectives for the a ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
UTCOME MEASURE # 06	not required for futi	ne Objectives for the a ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
UTCOME MEASURE # 06	not required for futi	ure year data.	above years. Numera		Annual Indicators
UTCOME MEASURE # 06	not required for futi	ure year data.			Annual Indicators  2004
UTCOME MEASURE # 06	not required for futu	ure year data.  Annual (	Objective and Perfor	rmance Data	2004
UTCOME MEASURE # 06 e child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.	not required for future and the second secon	ure year data.  Annual (	Objective and Perfor 2002	rmance Data 2003	<b>2004</b> 31.
UTCOME MEASURE # 06 e child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	not required for future and the second secon	Annual 0 2001 31.8	Objective and Perfor 2002 31.7	mance Data 2003 31.6	<b>2004</b> 31.
JTCOME MEASURE # 06 e child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	2000 31.9 25.3	Annual ( 2001 31.8 28.8 320	Dbjective and Perfor 2002 31.7 23.7	2003 31.6 24.3	<b>2004</b> 31.
DEFINITIATION  UTCOME MEASURE # 06 e child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	2000 31.9 25.3 271 1,073,045	Annual ( 2001 31.8 28.8 320	2002 31.7 23.7 271	2003 31.6 24.3 286	2004
UTCOME MEASURE # 06 e child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000 31.9 25.3 271 1,073,045	Annual ( 2001 31.8 28.8 320 1,109,672	2002 31.7 23.7 271	2003  31.6  24.3  286  1,176,805  Final	2004 31. 24.
UTCOME MEASURE # 06 le child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000 31.9 25.3 271 1,073,045	Annual ( 2001 31.8 28.8 320 1,109,672	2002 31.7 23.7 271 1,144,126	2003  31.6  24.3  286  1,176,805  Final	2004 31. 24.
UTCOME MEASURE # 06 ne child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000 31.9 25.3 271 1,073,045	Annual C 2001  31.8  28.8  320  1,109,672	2002 31.7 23.7 271 1,144,126  Objective and Perfor	2003 31.6 24.3 286 1,176,805 Final	2004 31.3 24.3 Provisional
DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 he child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 31.9 25.3 271 1,073,045 2005	Annual C 2001  31.8  28.8  320  1,109,672  Annual C 2006  23	2002  31.7  23.7  271  1,144,126  20bjective and Perfor 2007  22.5	2003  31.6  24.3  286  1,176,805  Final  Tmance Data 2008	2004 31. 24. Provisional 2009

None

### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Outcome Measure 1

Field Name: OM01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data for 2004 are not yet available. Estimates for 2004 are provisionally set at the 2003 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2005.

2. Section Number: Outcome Measure 2

Field Name: OM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data for 2004 are not yet available. Estimates for 2004 are provisionally set at the 2003 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2005.

3. Section Number: Outcome Measure 3

Field Name: OM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data for 2004 are not yet available. Estimates for 2004 are provisionally set at the 2003 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2005.

4. Section Number: Outcome Measure 4

Field Name: OM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data for 2004 are not yet available. Estimates for 2004 are provisionally set at the 2003 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2005.

Section Number: Outcome Measure 5

Field Name: OM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data for 2004 are not yet available. Estimates for 2004 are provisionally set at the 2003 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2005.

6. Section Number: Outcome Measure 6

Field Name: OM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data for 2004 are not yet available. Estimates for 2004 are provisionally set at the 2003 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2005.

# CHARACTERISTICS DOCUMENTING FAMILY PARTICIPATION IN CSHCN PROGRAMS STATE: AZ 1. Family members participate on advisory committee or task forces and are offering training, mentoring, and reimbursement, when appropriate. 3 2. Financial support (financial grants, technical assistance, travel, and child care) is offered for parent activities or parent groups. 3 3. Family members are involved in the Children with Special Health Care Needs elements of the MCH Block Grant Application process. 3 4. Family members are involved in service training of CSHCN staff and providers. 2 5. Family members hired as paid staff or consultants to the State CSHCN program (a family member is hired for his or her expertise as a family member). 3 6. Family members of diverse cultures are involved in all of the above activities. 1 Total Score: \_\_\_\_\_15 Rating Key 0 = Not Met 1 = Partially Met 2 = Mostly Met

3 = Completely Met

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

# FORM 14 LIST OF MCH PRIORITY NEEDS

[Sec. 505(a)(5)]

STATE: AZ FY: 2006

Your State's 5-year Needs Assessment should identify the need for preventive and primary care services for pregnant women, mothers, and infants; preventive and primary care services for children and services for Children with Special Health Care Needs. With each year's Block Grant application, provide a list (whether or not the priority needs change) of the top maternal and child health needs in your state. Using simple sentence or phrase ,list below your State's needs. Examples of such statements are: "To reduce the barriers to the delivery of care for pregnant women, " and "The infant mortality rate for minorities should be reduced."

MCHB will capture annually every State's top 7 to 10 priority needs in an information system for comparison, tracking, and reporting purposes; you must list at least 7 and no more than 10. Note that the numbers listed below are for computer tracking only and are not meant to indicate priority order. If your State wishes to report more than 10 priority needs, list additional priority needs in a note at the form level.

- 1. Reduce teen pregnancy and increase women's access to reproductive health services
- 2. Reduce obesity and overweight among women and children
- 3. Reduce preventable infant mortality
- 4. Reduce the rate of injuries, both intentional and unintentional
- 5. Increase access to prenatal care among the underserved
- 6. Improve oral health of children, especially among high-risk populations
- 7. Integrate mental health with general health care
- 8. Increase the accessibility and availability of individualized health and wellness resources for children and youth with special health care needs
- 9. Increase the availability of a cohesive and stable continuum of resources within a medical home that includes an improved quality of life approach
- 10. Increase the recognition of families as integral partners in the care of their children's health and wellbeing

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

None

# FORM 15 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE(TA) REQUEST

STATE: AZ APPLICATION YEAR: 2006

No.	Category of Technical Assistance Requested	Description of Technical Assistance Requested (max 250 characters)	Reason(s) Why Assistance Is Needed (max 250 characters)	What State, Organization or Individual Would You suggest Provide the TA (if known)  (max 250 characters)
1.	Data-related Issues - Data Systems Development If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A	Development of a central data system which combines immunization, EPSDT, and Newborn Screening information and provides easy access to primary care providers.	The Governor's School Readiness, Health Implementation Committee has identified the need to make all of this information available to primary care providers.	Source not identified.
2.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
3.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
4.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
5.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
6.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
7.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
8.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
9.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the			

	measure number here:		
	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:		
12.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:		

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

None

# FORM 16 STATE PERFORMANCE AND OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEET STATE: AZ

SP# 1

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Proportion of low-income women who receive reproductive health/family planning services.

STATUS: Act

GOAL To improve the health status of women of childbearing age (15-44 years).

**DEFINITION** 

Numerator:

Number of women of low economic status (at or below 150% of federal poverty line) age 15-44 who receive reproductive

health and family planning services.

Denominator:

Number of women of low economic status (at or below 150% of federal poverty line) age 15-44.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES OWCH Family Planning program data and Family Planning Council data are used for the numerator and Department of

Economic Security Population Statistics estimates are used for the denominator.

SIGNIFICANCE Title V and Title X family planning clinics provide birth control and other vital health care services to low-income women

and teens including breast and cervical cancer screening, screening and treatment for STDs, HIV and pregnancy testing and counseling, comprehensive physical exams and other primary health care services. Often, family planning clinics are the only source of primary care for women. In 1990, 30.5 million American women were at risk of unintended pregnancy. More than half were low-income teens. If all pregnancies were intended there would be a 45% reduction in births to unmarried women and an almost 90% reduction in births to teens. Unintended pregnancy is a serious public health issue and is costly. Providing family planning services results in substantial savings in both human and fiscal terms. In 1991, the cost of an uncomplicated vaginal delivery was approximately \$4,720, compared to the \$200 per woman per year cost of

contraception (including all related services).

GOAL

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Hospitalizations for nonfatal injuries and poisonings per 100,000 adolescents age 15 through 19.

STATUS:

Reduce morbidity related to injury and poisoning for children.

Injury and poisonings identified by ICD-9 CM principle diagnosis codes in the range 800-999. Measure is limited to Arizona **DEFINITION** residents.

Numerator:

Number of hospitalizations with a principle diagnosis code in the range of 800-999 to Arizona residents age 15-19.

Denominator:

Number of children 15-19.

Units: 100000 Text: Per 100,000

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

Arizona Department of Health Services Hospital Discharge Data Base for inpatient hospital admissions for numerator; and **DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

Arizona Department of Economic Security Population Statistics Unit for estimate of population for denominator

Injury is the leading cause of death among children one year of age and older, both nationwide and in Arizona. Injury SIGNIFICANCE accounts for more premature child deaths than all major diseases combined. From 1985-1995, injury accounted for nearly

70 percent of all child and adolescent deaths among Arizonans ages 1-19, and exceeded the rate of child deaths due to injury for the United States. Many serious injuries do not result in death. Between 1989 and 1992, national data show that for every one child or youth who died from an injury, more than 11 were admitted to a hospital. While there were 1,608 injury-related deaths among children in Arizona from 1989 to 1992, there were 18,873 injury-related hospitalizations during

the same period.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Preventable child deaths per 100,000 children under age 18.

STATUS: Active

**GOAL** To reduce the rate of preventable child deaths.

**DEFINITION** 

Numerator:

The number of deaths for children under age 18 which were determined by the Child Fatality Review Team to be

preventable.

Denominator:

The number of children under age 18. **Units:** 100000 **Text:** Rate per 100,000

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES Primary data source is the Child Fatality Review Team with summary data from death certificates as a comparison source.

An issue is the definition of preventable death which is still evolving and may be further refined by the State Child Fatality Review Team. Although it is the goal of the Child Fatality Review Team to review every death, this has not always been accomplished by the time statistics were produced. The measure for 1996 includes a review of 98.5% of child deaths.

Future measures will include a review of 100% of child deaths.

SIGNIFICANCE

This is a global measure of outcome for all children. Individual programs may target specific causes of child death but this measure looks at all child deaths that could reasonably have been prevented with appropriate intervention. While the

number of children who die is relatively small, it is directly related to the much larger number of children who are harmed by

the same cause.

**PERFORMANCE MEASURE:** The rate of children 1 through 14 hospitalized for ambulatory care sensitive conditions per 100,000.

STATUS: Active

Reduce the number of children hospitalized for ambulatory care sensitive conditions through the distribution of public GOAL

Ambulatory care sensitive conditions include diagnosis codes indicating any of the following: immunization preventable **DEFINITION** 

conditions, convulsions, severe ENT infections (suppurative and unspecified ottis media, pharyngitis, tonsilitis, URI), tuberculosis, COPD, bacterial pneumonia, asthma, diabetes, hypoglycemia, gastroenteritis, kidney/urinary infection, dehydration, iron deficiency anemia, nutritional deficiencies, pelvic inflammatory disease, and dental conditions.

Numerator:

The number of hospitalizations for ambulatory care sensitive conditions for children age 1-14.

Denominator:

The number of children age 1-14. Units: 100000 Text: Rate per 100,000

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

Arizona Department of Health Services Hospital Discharge Data Base for inpatient hospital admissions for numerator; and Arizona Department of Economic Security Population Statistics Unit for estimate of population for denominator.

**SIGNIFICANCE** 

Ambulatory care sensitive conditions are those conditions which would not have required hospitalization if adequate primary care services had been provided. This issue has both medical and financial consequences as children become sicker than necessary before they get treatment and the cost of treatment in an inpatient hospital setting is far higher than treatment in

a physician's office.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of children age 3 through 20 who had their teeth cleaned by a dentist or dental hygienist within the last year.

STATUS: Active

GOAL Increase the proportion of children receiving preventive dental care.

**DEFINITION** 

Numerator:

The number of children age 3 through 20 who are reported to have had their teeth cleaned by a dentist or dental hygienist

within the last year.

Denominator:

The number of children age 3 through 20 living in Arizona.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

This measure is estimated from a statewide survey of a representative sample of children living in Arizona.

One of the most significant findings from the year 2000 needs assessment of the MCH population in Arizona was the unmet need for dental services. This measure will track the proportion of children who get regular preventive dentistry. SIGNIFICANCE

**PERFORMANCE MEASURE:** Child abuse hospitalizations per 100,000 children under age 18.

STATUS: Active

GOAL Reduce the rate of hospitalizations for child abuse among children under age 18.

**DEFINITION** 

**Numerator:** The number of hospital admissions for child abuse for children under age 18.

Denominator:

The number of children under age 18 living in the State of Arizona.

Units: 100000 Text: Rate per 100,000

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

Arizona Department of Health Services Hospital Discharge Data Base for inpatient hospital admissions for numerator. Hospital admissions with the following diagnosis codes are included: 995.5x, E904.x, E968.4, V61.21. The source for the population estimate for the denominator is the Arizona Department of Economic Security Population Statistics Unit.

The Arizona Department of Health Services sought public input from its partners (e.g., community health centers, **SIGNIFICANCE** 

adolescent health coalition, foundations, county health departments and other state agencies). Partners were presented with data related to health status indicators, performance measures, and needs assessment. Data were then collected from the partners on what they considered to be critical issues. Two issues emerged as most important: child abuse and violence

against women.

**PERFORMANCE MEASURE:** Rate of hospitalizations due to violence against women per 100,000 women age 18 and over.

STATUS: Active

GOAL Reduce the rate of hospitalizations due to violence against women.

**DEFINITION** 

Numerator:

The number of hospital admissions due to violence against women epr 100,000 women age 18 and over.

Denominator:

The number of women age 18 and over living in Arizona.

Units: 100000 Text: Rate per 100,000

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

Arizona Department of Health Services Hospital Discharge Data Base for inpatient hospital admissions for numerator. Hospital admissions with the following diagnosis codes are included: 995.80, 995.81, 995.82, 995.83, 995.84, 995.85, E960-E969. The source for the population estimate for the denominator is the Arizona Department of Economic Security

Population Statistics Unit.

**SIGNIFICANCE** The Arizona Department of Health Services sought public input from its partners (e.g., community health centers,

adolescent health coalition, foundations, county health departments and other state agencies). Partners were presented with data related to health status indicators, performance measures, and needs assessment. Data were then collected from the partners on what they considered to be critical issues. Two issues emerged as most important: child abuse and violence

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 16
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

# FORM 17 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATORS FORMS FOR HSCI 01 THROUGH 04, 07 & 08 - MULTI-YEAR DATA STATE: AZ

The rate of children hospitalized for asthma (ICD-9 Codes: 493.0 -493.9) per 10,000 children less than five years of age.

	Annual Indicator Data						
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004		
Annual Indicator	25.7	23.3	23.3	26.5	26.5		
Numerator	982	920		1,324			
Denominator	382,386	395,605		499,721			
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional		

# HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 02

The percent Medicaid enrollees whose age is less than one year during the reporting year who received at least one initial periodic screen.

	Annual Indicator Data						
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004		
Annual Indicator	83.7	87.6	89.2	84.3	95.0		
Numerator	34,712	38,911	42,854	43,509	51,326		
Denominator	41,467	44,403	48,046	51,598	54,047		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final		

# **HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 03**

The percent State Childrens Health Insurance Program (SCHIP) enrollees whose age is less than one year during the reporting year who received at least one periodic screen.

	Annual Indicator Data						
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004		
Annual Indicator	69.5	70.7	76.3	71.8	79.7		
Numerator	463	649	646	549	484		
Denominator	666	918	847	765	607		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final		

# HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 04

The percent of women (15 through 44) with a live birth during the reporting year whose observed to expected prenatal visits are greater than or equal to 80 percent on the Kotelchuck Index.

	Annual Indicator Data						
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004		
Annual Indicator	66.4	69.3	69.9	67.9	67.9		
Numerator	57,116	59,036	61,112	61,674			
Denominator	86,045	85,213	87,379	90,783			
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional		

			<b>Annual Indicator Da</b>	<u>ıta</u>	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	34.0	33.8	41.3	44.0	49.2
Numerator	27,788	26,392	37,768	47,484	56,991
Denominator	81,834	78,053	91,453	108,018	115,746
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final

			Annual Indicator D	<u>ata</u>	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	64.2	55.8	56.5	55.2	58.1
Numerator	6,909	6,527	6,940	7,514	8,849
Denominator	10,760	11,700	12,280	13,618	15,230
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final

None

# FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #01 Field Name: HSC01

Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004

Problems with tabulating hospital discharge data prevented reporting on this measure for 2002. Data for 2004 not yet available. The estimate for 2004 is provisionally set at the 2003 rate until data becomes available in the Fall of 2005.

Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #04

Field Name: HSC04 Row Name:

Column Name:
Year: 2004
Field Note:
Data for 2004 not yet available. The estimate for 2004 is provisionally set at the 2003 rate until data becomes available in the Fall of 2005.

# FORM 18 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #05 (MEDICAID AND NON-MEDICAID COMPARISON) STATE: AZ

INDICATOR #05 Comparison of health system capacity	V=15			POPULATION	
indicators for Medicaid, non-Medicaid, and all MCH populations in the State	YEAR	DATA SOURCE	MEDICAID	NON-MEDICAID	ALL
a) Percent of low birth weight (< 2,500 grams)	2003	Payment source from birth certificate	7.4	6.7	7
b) Infant deaths per 1,000 live births	2003	Other	0	0	6.5
c) Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginning in the first trimester	2003	Payment source from birth certificate	64.3	87.1	75.6
d) Percent of pregnant women with adequate prenatal care(observed to expected prenatal visits is greater than or equal to 80% [Kotelchuck Index])	2003	Payment source from birth certificate	58.9	65.9	67.9

FORM 18
HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #06(MEDICAID ELIGIBILITY LEVEL)
STATE: AZ

INDICATOR #06 The percent of poverty level for eligibility in the State's Medicaid programs for infants (0 to 1), children, Medicaid and pregnant women.	YEAR	PERCENT OF POVERTY LEVEL MEDICAID (Valid range: 100-300 percent)
a) Infants (0 to 1)	2004	140_
b) Medicaid Children (Age range 1 to 5) (Age range 6 to 18) (Age range to )	2004	133 100
c) Pregnant Women	2004	140_

# FORM 18 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #06(SCHIP ELIGIBILITY LEVEL) STATE: AZ

INDICATOR #06 The percent of poverty level for eligibility in the State's SCHIP programs for infants (0 to 1), children, SCHIP and pregnant women.	YEAR	PERCENT OF POVERTY LEVEL SCHIP
a) Infants (0 to 1)	2004	
b) Medicaid Children (Age range 1 to 18 ) (Age range to ) (Age range to )	2004	200
c) Pregnant Women	2004	

None

# FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Indicator 05
 Field Name: InfantDeath
 Row Name: Infant deaths per 1,000 live births
 Column Name:
 Year: 2006
 Field Note:
 Mortality data is not available by payer. Data source is death certificates.

# **FORM 19** HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR - REPORTING AND TRACKING FORM STATE: AZ

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09A (General MCH Data Capacity)
(The Ability of the State to Assure MCH Program Access to Policy and Program Relevant Informatioin)

DATABASES OR SURVEYS	Does your MCH program have the ability to obtain data for program planning or policy purposes in a timely manner?  (Select 1 - 3) *	Does your MCH program have Direct access to the electronic database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
ANNUAL DATA LINKAGES Annual linkage of infant birth and infant death certificates	3	Yes
Annual linkage of birth certificates and Medicaid Eligibility or Paid Claims Files	1	No
Annual linkage of birth certificates and WIC eligibility files	1	No
Annual linkage of birth certificates and newborn screening files	3	Yes
REGISTRIES AND SURVEYS Hospital discharge survey for at least 90% of in-State discharges	3	Yes
Annual birth defects surveillance system	3	Yes
Survey of recent mothers at least every two years (like PRAMS)	1	No

- 1 = No, the MCH agency does not have this ability.
  2 = Yes, the MCH agency sometimes has this ability, but not on a consistent basis.
  3 = Yes, the MCH agency always has this ability.

# FORM 19 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR - REPORTING AND TRACKING FORM STATE: AZ

DATA SOURCES	Does your state participate in the YRBS survey? (Select 1 - 3)*	Does your MCH program have direct access to the state YRBS database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS)	3	Yes
Other: Arizona Youth Tobacco Survey	3	No

# HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09C (Data Capacity) Overweight/Obesity (The Ability of the State to Determine the Percent of Children Who are Obese or Overweight)

Data Source	Does your state participate in this survey/data source? (Select 1 - 3)*	Does your MCH program have direct access to this electronic database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS)	3	Yes
Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance System (PedNSS)	3	Yes
WIC Program Data	3	Yes
Other:		

### Where:

- 1 = No
- 2 = Yes, the State participates but the sample size is <u>not</u> large enough for valid statewide estimates for this age group.
- 3 = Yes, the State participates and the sample size is large enough for valid statewide estimates for this age group.

# Notes:

1. HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09B was formerly reported as Developmental Health Status Indicator #05.

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

None

# FORM 20 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS #01-#05 MULTI-YEAR DATA STATE: AZ

	SIAI	E. AZ			
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 01A					
he percent of live births weighing less than 2,500 grams.					
			Annual Indicator D	<u>ata</u>	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	r 7.2	7.0	6.8	7.1	7.1
Numerato	f6,101	5,943	5,926	6,427	
Denominato	r 84,985	85,213	87,379	90,783	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	?			Final	Provisional
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 01B					
he percent of live singleton births weighing less than 2,500 grams.					
			Annual Indicator D	<u>ata</u>	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicato	r <u>5.7</u>	5.4	5.4	5.6	5.6
Numerato	r 4,754		4,552	4,918	
Denominato	r 82,714	85,213	84,984	88,167	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	?			Final	Provisional
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A					
The percent of live births weighing less than 1,500 grams.					
	2000	2004	Annual Indicator D		2004
Amusel Indicate		<b>2001</b> 1.1	<b>2002</b> 1.1	<b>2003</b> 1.1	<b>2004</b> 1.1
	r 1.3				1.1
	r 1,115			1,024	
	r 84,985	85,213	87,379	90,783	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	?			Final	Provisional
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02B					
The percent of live singleton births weighing less than 1,500 grams.					
			Annual Indicator D	<u>ata</u>	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicato	r <u>1.1</u>	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.9
Numerato	r873	708	730	762	
Denominato	r 82,714	85,213	84,984	88,167	
	-		<u> </u>		-

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Provisional

Final

Annual Indicator Numerator	11.4 131 1,150,466	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<b>2002</b> 9.6	<b>2003</b> 10.3	2004
Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	131	154	9.0		10.
Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	118	130	10.
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	1,100,400	1 189 772		1,261,764	
		1,100,772	1,220,721	Final	Provisional
e death rate per 100,000 for unintentional injuries among children ag	ged 14 years and y <b>2000</b>	ounger due to motor	vehicle crashes.  Annual Indicator Da 2002	<u>ata</u> 2003	2004
Annual Indicator			4.8	5.3	<b>2004</b> 5.
	62		59	67	
		1,189,772	1,226,721	1,261,764	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
ALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C e death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor vel  Annual Indicator	2000	2001	Annual Indicator Da	ata 2003 30.1	<b>2004</b> 30.
e death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor vel	2000	2001	Annual Indicator Da 2002	2003	
e death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor ver Annual Indicator Numerator	2000	2001 27.3 206	Annual Indicator Da 2002 29.5 230	<b>2003</b> 30.1	

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Final

Provisional

The rate per 100,000 of nonfatal injuries due to motor vehicle crashes	among children age	sa yeare and year	Annual Indicator Da	ata	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	108.0	73.8	73.8	205.4	205.4
Numerator	1,243	878		2,592	
Denominator	1,150,466	1,189,792		1,261,764	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 04C ne rate per 100,000 of nonfatal injuries due to motor vehicle crashes	among youth aged	15 through 24 years.	Annual Indicator Da	ata	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator		248.3		365.2	365.2
Numerator	1,521	1,876		2,927	
Denominator	730,582	755,386		801,422	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
	case of chlamydia.		Annual Indicator Da	ata	
	case of chlamydia.	2001	Annual Indicator Da	a <u>ta</u> 2003	2004
	2000	2001	-		
he rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported o	2000	22.1	<b>2002</b> 21.3	2003	<b>2004</b> 17.9
he rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported o  Annual Indicator  Numerator	2000	22.1 4,046	2002 21.3 4,024	<b>2003</b> 17.9	
Numerator Denominator	2000 21.0 3,726 177,114	22.1 4,046	2002 21.3 4,024	2003 17.9 3,475 194,127	17
he rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 21.0 3,726 177,114	22.1 4,046	2002 21.3 4,024	2003 17.9 3,475 194,127 Final	
Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05B  he rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of	2000 21.0 3,726 177,114 case of chlamydia.	22.1 4,046	21.3 4,024 188,780	2003 17.9 3,475 194,127 Final	17.9
Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  REALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05B he rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of	2000 21.0 3,726 177,114 case of chlamydia. 2000 6.5	22.1 4,046 183,057 2001 7.3	2002  21.3  4,024  188,780  Annual Indicator Da 2002  7.5	2003 17.9 3,475 194,127 Final  2003 6.3	Provisional
Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05B  The rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of	2000 21.0 3,726 177,114 case of chlamydia. 2000 6.5	22.1 4,046 183,057 2001 7.3	2002 21.3 4,024 188,780 Annual Indicator Day 2002	2003 17.9 3,475 194,127 Final	17.9

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Final

Provisional

None

### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #01A

Field Name: HSI01A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data for 2004 are not yet available. Estimates for 2004 are provisionally set at the 2003 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2005.

2. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #01B

Field Name: HSI01B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data for 2004 are not yet available. Estimates for 2004 are provisionally set at the 2003 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2005.

3. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #02A

Field Name: HSI02A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data for 2004 are not yet available. Estimates for 2004 are provisionally set at the 2003 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2005.

4. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #02B

Field Name: HSI02B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data for 2004 are not yet available. Estimates for 2004 are provisionally set at the 2003 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2005.

Section Number: Health Status Indicator #03A

Field Name: HSI03A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

6. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #03A

Field Name: HSI03A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data for 2004 are not yet available. Estimates for 2004 are provisionally set at the 2003 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2005.

7. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #03B

Field Name: HSI03B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data for 2004 are not yet available. The estimate for 2004 is provisionally set at the 2003 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2005. Estimates for 2001 on reflect motor vehicle death rates for all children ages 0-14. Prior to 2001, estimates were based on ages 1-14.

8. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #03C

Field Name: HSI03C Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data for 2004 are not yet available. Estimates for 2004 are provisionally set at the 2003 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2005.

9. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04A

Field Name: HSI04A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data for 2004 are not yet available. Estimates for 2004 are provisionally set at the 2003 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2005.

10. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04B

Field Name: HSI04B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data for 2002 will become available in the fall of 2003.

11. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04B

Field Name: HSI04B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data for 2004 are not yet available. Estimates for 2004 are provisionally set at the 2003 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2005.

12. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04C

Field Name: HSI04C

Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data for 2004 are not yet available. Estimates for 2004 are provisionally set at the 2003 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2005.

**13. Section Number:** Health Status Indicator #05A Field Name: HSI05A

**Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data for 2004 are not yet available. Estimates for 2004 are provisionally set at the 2003 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2005.

14. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #05B

Field Name: HSI05B Row Name:

Column Name:
Year: 2004
Field Note:
Data for 2004 are not yet available. Estimates for 2004 are provisionally set at the 2003 rate until data become available in the Fall of 2005.

### FORM 21 **HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA**

STATE: AZ

HSI #06A - Demographics (Total Population) Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by sub-populations of age group and race. (Demographics) 

CATEGORY TOTAL POPULATION BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Infants 0 to 1	87,130	77,073	3,060	5,572	1,425	0	0	0
Children 1 through 4	343,419	302,559	12,368	22,223	6,269	0	0	0
Children 5 through 9	441,445	385,163	17,028	31,837	7,417	0	0	0
Children 10 through 14	428,450	371,855	16,514	33,058	7,023	0	0	0
Children 15 through 19	417,019	364,911	15,239	28,992	7,877	0	0	0
Children 20 through 24	412,771	365,630	14,557	22,908	9,676	0	0	0
Children 0 through 24	2,130,234	1,867,191	78,766	144,590	39,687	0	0	0

HSI #06B - Demographics (Total Population) Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by sub-populations of age group and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL POPULATION BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported	
Infants 0 to 1	50,462	36,668	0	
Children 1 through 4	205,736	137,683	0	
Children 5 through 9	277,814	163,631	0	
Children 10 through 14	286,111	142,339	0	
Children 15 through 19	278,771	138,248	0	
Children 20 through 24	266,849	145,922	0	
Children 0 through 24	1,365,743	764,491	0	

# FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: AZ

HSI #07A - Demographics (Total live births) Live births to women (of all ages) enumerated by maternal age and race. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL LIVE BIRTHS BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Women < 15	211	169	3	37	1	0	0	1
Women 15 through 17	4,110	3,382	204	434	38	0	0	52
Women 18 through 19	7,379	6,211	342	670	68	0	0	88
Women 20 through 34	68,932	59,563	2,224	4,178	1,953	0	0	1,014
Women 35 or older	10,146	8,615	248	562	464	0	0	257
Women of all ages	90,778	77,940	3,021	5,881	2,524	0	0	1,412

HSI #07B - Demographics (Total live births) Live births to women (of all ages) enumerated by maternal age and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL LIVE BIRTHS BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported
Women < 15	65	146	0
Women 15 through 17	1,510	2,600	0
Women 18 through 19	3,333	4,046	0
Women 20 through 34	39,620	29,312	0
Women 35 or older	7,150	2,996	0
Women of all ages	51,678	39,100	0

### FORM 21 **HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA**

STATE: AZ

HSI #08A - Demographics (Total deaths) Deaths of Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by age subgroup and race. (Demographics) 

CATEGORY TOTAL DEATHS BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Infants 0 to 1	586	482	48	44	11	0	0	1
Children 1 through 4	135	110	10	14	1	0	0	0
Children 5 through 9	54	41	4	9	0	0	0	0
Children 10 through 14	97	72	6	18	0	0	0	1
Children 15 through 19	304	228	14	59	3	0	0	0
Children 20 through 24	454	365	24	61	2	0	0	2
Children 0 through 24	1,630	1,298	106	205	17	0	0	4

HSI #08B - Demographics (Total deaths) Deaths of Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by age subgroup and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL DEATHS BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported	
Infants 0 to 1	60	298	586	
Children 1 through 4	15	51	69	
Children 5 through 9	6	24	24	
Children 10 through 14	10	51	69	
Children 15 through 19	28	120	156	
Children 20 through 24	37	179	238	
Children 0 through 24	156	723	1,142	

# FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: AZ

**HSI #09A - Demographics (Miscellaneous Data)** Infants and children aged 0 through 19 years in miscellaneous situations or enrolled in various State programs enumerated by race. (Demographics)

Is this data final or provisional? Provisional

CATEGORY Miscellaneous Data BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown	Specific Reporting Year
All children 0 through 19	1,717,463	1,501,561.0	64,209.0	121,682.0	30,011.0	0	0	0	2004
Percent in household headed by single parent	23.5	21.1	42.8	31.0	13.3	24.0	27.3	25.2	2004
Percent in TANF (Grant) families	4.8	6.2	14.5	7.4	1.6	0	0	0	2004
Number enrolled in Medicaid	513,867	406,219.0	32,637.0	65,831.0	5,800.0	0	0	3,380.0	2004
Number enrolled in SCHIP	22,237	12,926.0	1,124.0	3,641.0	537.0	0	867.0	3,142.0	2004
Number living in foster home care	8,246	6,400.0	1,066.0	509.0	66.0	0	0	205.0	2004
Number enrolled in food stamp program	325,819	251,908.0	25,522.0	44,900.0	1,381.0	1,214.0	0	894.0	2004
Number enrolled in WIC	219,492	0	0	0	0	0	0	219,492.0	2004
Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests	5,846.2	5,785.0	10,773.4	4,209.2	1,735.2	0	0	0	2004
Percentage of high school drop- outs (grade 9 through 12)	7.4	6.0	7.6	12.4	2.7	0	0	0	2004

**HSI #09B - Demographics (Miscellaneous Data)** Infants and children aged 0 through 19 years in miscellaneous situations or enrolled in various State programs enumerated by ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY Miscellaneous Data BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported	Specific Reporting Year
All children 0 through 19	1,098,894.0	618,569.0	0	2004
Percent in household headed by single parent	24.8	23.5	0	2004
Percent in TANF (Grant) families	3.6	6.4	0	2004
Number enrolled in Medicaid	263,157.0	250,711.0	0	2004
Number enrolled in SCHIP	19,095.0	25,935.0	3,142.0	2004
Number living in foster home care	5,335.0	2,706.0	205.0	2004
Number enrolled in food stamp program	150,612.0	174,313.0	894.0	2004
Number enrolled in WIC			219,492.0	2004
Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests	5,366.0	6,621.4	0	2004
Percentage of high school drop-outs (grade 9 through 12)	5.8	10.1	0	2004

# FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: AZ

HSI #10 - Demographics (Geographic Living Area) Geographic living area for all resident children aged 0 through 19 years old. (Demographics)

GEOGRAPHIC LIVING AREAS	TOTAL	
Living in metropolitan areas	1,515,318	
Living in urban areas	1,288,097	
Living in rural areas	343,492	
Living in frontier areas	34,349	
Total - all children 0 through 19	1,665,938	

Note:

The Total will be determined by adding reported numbers for urban, rural and frontier areas.

### FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: AZ

HSI #11 - Demographics (Poverty Levels) Percent of the State population at various levels of the federal poverty level. (Demographics)

POVERTY LEVELS	TOTAL
Total Population	5,832,150.0
Percent Below: 50% of poverty	6.6
100% of poverty	<u>14.1</u>
200% of poverty	33.5

## FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: AZ

HSI #12 - Demographics (Poverty Levels) Percent of the State population aged 0 through 19 at various levels of the federal poverty level. (Demographics)
Reporting Year: 2004 Is this data from a State Projection? No Is this data final or provisional? Final

POVERTY LEVELS	TOTAL
Children 0 through 19 years old	1,717,463.0
Percent Below: 50% of poverty	10.7
100% of poverty	21.0
200% of poverty	41.7

#### FORM NOTES FOR FORM 21

Except where separate enumerations are available, "Asian" includes Hawaiian and other Pacific Islanders.

HSI#07: There were 5 women of unknown age delivering babies in Arizona in 2003, which were excluded from this table.

HSI #08: Data are from 2003.

HSI #09:

- Percent in household headed by a single parent data are from 2000 Census.
- The number of children in TANF families is unavailable. The number reported is the percent of children enrolled in TANF divided by the total number of all children.
- WIC enrollment by race/ethnicity is not available.
HSI #10: The proportions living in various geographic areas from the year 2000 U.S. Census were applied to the total DES population estimates for 2004 to estimate the numbers living in various geographic areas.

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

### NEW STATE PERFORMANCE AND OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEET STATE: AZ

SP# 1

PERFORMANCE MEASURE:

Proportion of low-income women who receive reproductive health/family planning services.

GOAL

To improve the health status of women of childbearing age (15-44 years).

**DEFINITION** 

Numerator:

Number of women of low economic status (at or below 200% of federal poverty line) age 15-44 who receive reproductive

health and family planning services.

Denominator:

Number of women of low economic status (at or below 200% of federal poverty line) age 15-44.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

9-1

Increase to at least 70% the proportion of all pregnancies among women 15-44 that are planned.

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

In an effort to provide a timely and reasonable estimate of the need for family planning services in Arizona, the Arizona Family Planning Council conducts an annual needs assessment. The needs assessment compares the number of low-income women who are potentially in need of family planning services (women 15 through 44 with an income at or below 200 percent federal poverty level who are fertile) to the number of these women reported to receive free or subsidized family planning services through publicly funded resources. Publicly funded service networks work collaboratively with

Arizona Family Planning Council to provide data for this report.

SIGNIFICANCE

Publicly funded family planning services are provided through Title V, Title X, AHCCCS, county governments, and federally-funded community health centers. Services include birth control and other vital health care services to low-

income women and teens including breast and cervical cancer screening, screening and treatment for STDs, HIV and pregnancy testing and counseling, comprehensive physical exams and other primary health care services. Often, family planning clinics are the only source of primary care for women. The 2002 National Survey of Family Growth found that many pregnancies continue to be either mistimed or unwanted. Three fourths of women 25 through 44 years of age intended their pregnancy, while less than one fourth (22 percent) of women under the age of 20 indicated that their pregnancy was intended. For those women under the age of 20, 57 percent said that the pregnancy was mistimed and 22

percent said that it was unwanted.

OBJECTIVE 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010

Page 80 of 87

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: The percent of high school students who are overweight or at-risk for overweight.

GOAL Reduce the percent of high school students who are overweight or at-risk for overweight.

**DEFINITION** 

Youth Risk Behavior Survey calculates body mass index from students' self reports of heights and weights, and categorizes

them using CDC criteria as normal, underweight, overweight, and at-risk for becoming overweight.

Numerator:

The weighted number of students classified as either "overweight" or "at-risk for overweight" in the YRBS.

The weighted number of all students in the Youth Risk Behavior Survey.

Units: 100 Text: 1

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

19-3

Reduce the proportion of children and adolescents who are overweight or obese.

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

Youth Risk Behavior Survey calculates body mass index from students' self reports of heights and weights, and categorizes

them using CDC criteria as normal, underweight, overweight, and at-risk for becoming overweight.

**SIGNIFICANCE** 

The National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey found that there has been an increase in overweight 12-19 year-olds from 5% to 16% over the last 40 years. While maintaining a healthy weight is important for people of all ages, being overweight during childhood can carry life-long health consequences. The Surgeon General's Call to Action to Prevent and Decrease Overweight and Obesity states: "Risk factors for heart disease, such as high cholesterol and high blood pressure, occur with increased frequency in overweight children and adolescents compared to children with a healthy weight. Type 2 diabetes, previously considered an adult disease, has increased dramatically in children and adolescents. Overweight and obesity are closely linked to type 2 diabetes. Overweight adolescents have a 70% chance of becoming overweight or obese

adults.

**OBJECTIVE** 

2006 2007 2008 2009 2010

**PERFORMANCE MEASURE:** The percent of preventable fetal and infant deaths out of all fetal and infant deaths.

Reduce infant mortality by reducing the percent of preventable fetal and infant deaths. GOAL

Using the CDC Periods of Risk Model, excess deaths will be identified by comparing death rates of various subgroups to **DEFINITION** 

infant death rates of White women age 20 and older with more than 12 years of education.

Numerator:

Total number of excess deaths identified by the Periods of Risk analysis.

Denominator:

Total number of fetal and infant deaths.

Units: 100 Text: 1

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

Reduce the fetal(fetal deaths at 20 or more weeks of gestation) to no more than 4.1 per 1,000 live births, and reduce the

infant mortality rate to no more than 5 per 1,000 live births.

Arizona infant and fetal death certificates will be matched to birth certificate data using the CDC Periods of Risk Model. **DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

Deaths will be classified into periods of risk by weight and gestational age at the time of death, and excess death rates will

be calculated by race/ethnicity, age, and education level.

Although infant mortality in Arizona has declined, disparities remain in the rates of death among various subgroups of the **SIGNIFICANCE** population. African American, American Indian, and Hispanic infants die at higher rates than White infants, as do infants

born to less educated women and teens. While not all infant mortality can be prevented, disparities suggest that

interventions directed at excess mortality within high-risk populations provide an opportunity for further progress.

**OBJECTIVE** 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Emergency department visits for unintentional injuries per 100,000 children age 1-14.

GOAL To reduce the rate of emergency department visits for unintentional injuries among children age 1-14.

**DEFINITION** 

SIGNIFICANCE

Numerator:

The number of outpatient emergency department visits for non-fatal unintentional injuries and poisonings for children age 1-

14

Denominator:

The population of children age 1-14.

Units: 100000 Text: Rate

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE Injury/violence Prevention Objective 9

Reduce emergency department visits caused by unintentional injuries to no more than 111 per 1,000 people.

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

All acute-care hospitals in Arizona, with the exception of federal hospitals (military and Indian Health services), are required

to submit emergency room utilization data to the Arizona Department of Health Services twice a year. The population of

children age 1-14 is based on the Arizona Department of Economic Security population projections.

Injuries, both intentional and unintentional, are among the leading causes of death among children of all ages in Arizona.

Unintentional injuries, homicides, and suicides accounted for 79% of all deaths among children 1-14 and 75% of deaths among adolescents age 15-19 in 2003. In addition, there were 6,874 inpatient hospitalizations for non-fatal injuries and

poisonings. Thousands more less severe injuries are seen in emergency rooms as outpatients.

OBJECTIVE 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: The percent of women entering prenatal care during their first trimester in underserved primary care areas.

GOAL To increase the percent of women entering prenatal care during their first trimesters in underserved primary care areas.

**DEFINITION**Underserved primary care areas, for the purpose of this measure, are defined as areas in which fewer than 74 percent of

women received a prenatal visit within their first trimester in 2003.

Numerator:

The number of women in underserved primary care areas who received a prenatal visit during their first trimester of

pregnancy.

Denominator:

The number of women in underserved primary care areas who delivered a live birth.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE 16-6

Increase the proportion of pregnant women who receive early and adequate prenatal care to 90%.

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Arizona birth certificate data contains information that allows it to be aggregate into primary care areas. Birth certificates will be the source of both the numerator and denominator for this measure.

SIGNIFICANCE Prenatal care is an opportunity to identify risks and mitigate their impact on pregnancy outcomes through medical

management. Prenatal visits also offer an opportunity for education and counseling on proper nutrition and risk factors, such as smoking and alcohol use during pregnancy. Prenatal care is more effective when women enter care early in their pregnancy. There are many areas of the state where the percent of women entering prenatal care early lags behind the

statewide average.

OBJECTIVE 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010

Page 84 of 87

**PERFORMANCE MEASURE:** Percent of Medicaid enrollees age 1-18 who received at least one preventive dental service within the last year.

GOAL To increase the percent of high-risk children who receive preventive dental services each year to 57%.

**DEFINITION** 

Numerator:

Line 12B, "Total eligibles receiving preventive dental services," sum of columns "1-2," "3-5," "6-9," "10-14," "15-18."

Line 1, "Total individuals eligible for EPSDT," sum of columns "1-2," "3-5," "6-9," "10-14," "15-18."

Units: 100 Text: Percent

21-12 **HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

Increase the proportion of low-income children and adolescents who received any preventive dental service during the past

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** AHCCCS produces an annual "Form HCFA-416: Annual EPSDT Participation Report for Funding Source: Title XIX"

containing dental utilization data, including information on preventive dental services.

U. S. Surgeon General David Satcher deemed dental disease the "silent epidemic," yet, it is preventable with early **SIGNIFICANCE** 

intervention and the promotion of evidence-based prevention efforts like dental sealants. In an effort to improve health and well being it is imperative that we target interventions at preventing dental disease, especially in high-risk children. Although children enrolled in Medicaid are fully covered for dental services, they often fail to receive preventive dental care.

**OBJECTIVE** 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of parents and youth participating with state agencies in community development initiatives who completed the

Parent Youth Training.

GOAL To have all parents and youth participating with state and community agencies to have completed the Parent Youth

Training.

**DEFINITION** 

Numerator:

Number of parents and youth completing Parent Youth Training.

Denominator:

All parents and youth participating with state agencies in community development initiative.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

16.23

Increase the proportion of states and jurisdictions that have service systems for children with or at risk for chronic and

disabling conditions as required by Public Law 101-239.

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

As of October 2005, OCSHCN expects to have access to ADHS e-Learning Management System, which will record access

to the learning modules, completion of the modules, and the results of online competency testing.

SIGNIFICANCE

The Arizona Department of Health Services, Office for Children with Special Health care Needs sought public input from its

partners (e.g., community health centers, foundations, county health departments, state and community agencies, providers, and families of children with special health care needs) through a Needs Assessment Planning Group and focus groups composed of family members of children and youth with special health care needs. Participants in these groups were presented with data related to health status indicators, performance measures, and needs assessment. Education of youth, parents, and providers was mentioned as a significant need by both the focus groups and the Needs Assessment

Planning group.

**OBJECTIVE** 

2006 2007 2008 2009 2010